

2014 VIRGINIA ON-FARM SMALL GRAIN TEST PLOTS



A Summary of Replicated Research and Demonstration Plots Conducted by Virginia Cooperative Extension in Cooperation with Local Producers and Agribusinesses

CONDUCTED AND SUMMARIZED BY:

Keith Balderson, Extension Agent, Essex County Glenn Chappell, II, Virginia State University Roy Flanagan, Extension Agent, Virginia Beach Watson Lawrence, Extension Agent, City of Chesapeake David Moore, Extension Agent, Middlesex County Scott Reiter, Extension Agent, Prince George County Stephanie Romelczyk, Extension Agent, Westmoreland County Wade Thomason, Extension Grains Specialist, Virginia Tech

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDED BY: VIRGINIA SMALL GRAINS BOARD

ANR-113NP

Virginia Cooperative Extension programs and employment are open to all, regardless of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, genetic information, marital, family, or veteran status, or any other basis protected by law. An equal opportunity/affirmative action employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia State University, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture cooperating. Edwin J. Jones, Director, Virginia Cooperative Extension, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg; Jewel E. Hairston, Administrator, 1890 Extension Program, Virginia State, Petersburg.

INTRODUCTION

The demonstration and research plot results discussed in this publication are a cooperative effort by six Virginia Cooperative Extension agents, extension specialists from Virginia Tech, and an assistant professor at the Virginia State University School of Agriculture. We are proud to present this year's onfarm small grain plot work to you. We hope the information in this publication will help farmers produce a profitable crop in 2015.

The field work and printing of this publication are supported by the Virginia Small Grains Check-Off Funds. The cooperators gratefully acknowledge this support. Any small grain producer or agribusiness personnel who would like to receive of copy of this report should contact his/her local extension agent, who can request a copy from Keith Balderson in Essex County at 804 443-3551 or thbalder@vt.edu.

This is the twenty-first year of this multi-year project. Further work is planned for the upcoming growing season.

The authors wish to thank the many producers who participated in this project. Appreciation is extended to the seed, chemical, and fertilizer representatives who donated products and/or assisted with the field work and to Robbie Longest, 2014 Essex and Westmoreland County VCE intern for his assistance with data compilation.

DISCLAIMER:

Trade and brand names are used for educational purposes only, and Virginia Cooperative Extension does not guarantee or warrant the standards of the products, nor does Virginia Cooperative Extension imply approval of the product to the exclusion of others which may be suitable.





TABLE OF CONTENTS

General Summary.	4
Wheat Variety Plots	5-14
Vertical Tillage Plot	15
Foliar Fungicide Plot	16-17
Growth Regulator Plots	18-21
Sprayer Track Yield Loss Plot	22
Tissue Testing Results	23-25



GENERAL SUMMARY

A. VARIETY SELECTION: Variety selection remains one of the most important components of wheat production. Five wheat variety plots were planted in eastern Virginia. Three plots were located in the central and upper coastal plain at Virginia State University and Westmoreland and Middlesex Counties. The average yield per variety across these three locations ranged from 87.23 to 75.1 bushels per acre. There were also two plots located in Chesapeake and Virginia Beach which were identical to each other. Average yields across these two locations ranged from 99.42 to 75.48 bushels per acre. In addition to yield, producers should also consider test weight, disease resistance, standability, and the presence of "beards" if deer pressure is a concern. The best resource for wheat variety selection is the Virginia Cooperative Extension publication, **Small Grains for 2014**, which is posted on the Virginia Cooperative Extension website.

B. VERTICAL TILLAGE: Under continuous no-till systems, crop residue continues to remain a concern when planting season begins. Good seed to soil contact is crucial in initiating seed germination and insuring a solid stand within a field. Some farmers have adopted vertical tillage which is a way of residue incorporation with minimal soil disturbance. During the 2013-2014 growing season, one plot focused on the use of a Turbo Till. The results showed minimal differences in yield with a higher average of tillers in the tilled plots.

C. FOLIAR FUNGICIDE & GROWTH REGULATOR PLOTS: There was only one foliar fungicide plot during the 2013-2014 growing season which looked at the application of *Prosaro* at flowering. Scab pressure under favorable conditions can dramatically influence yield and test weight. This plot noticed about a four bushel difference in yield with lower levels of vomitoxin in the treated wheat. There were two wheat plots and one barley plot that received an application of the growth regulator *Palisade*. Increased rates of nitrogen applications to increase yield may lead to decreased straw strength and lodging later in the growing season which is unfavorable. Growth regulators were applied to minimize lodging, but there was little difference in yield and test weight, making the applications not profitable.

D. SPRAYER TRACKS PLOT: Throughout a typical small grains growing season, a spray rig may drive over the crop an average of four times. Late season applications affect yield loss the most. Throughout the years of 2011-2014, three plots have assessed yield loss with one having been conducted this past growing season. The 2014 results showed an average loss of 3.68 bushels per acre. With wheat prices between \$5.00 and \$6.00, this would equal between approximately a \$18.40-\$22.08 loss per acre. Factors such as growth stage, sprayer size, and field conditions affect the impact.

E. TISSUE TESTING: Tissue testing may be conducted to solve fertility issues or inquire about the fertility levels in the crop including macro and micronutrients. During the 2013-2014 small grains growing season, 35 samples were submitted for analysis. Deficiencies in these samples included: 4 sulfur, 3 magnesium, 2 boron, and 2 manganese. Since 2007, there have been 315 samples submitted for full analysis, and 26 solely looking at nitrogen levels. Complete results of the tissue analysis results year by year since 2007 can be found in the section in this publication labeled 2007-2014 Small Grain Tissue Sample Summary.



20	13/14 V	Virginia	State	University	Small	Grain	Variety	Comparison	

Cooperators:	Producer: Glenn F. Chappell, III
	Glenn F. Chappell, II – Virginia State University
	Scott Reiter – Virginia Cooperative Extension – Prince George
Previous Crop:	Corn
Soil Type:	Emporia Sandy loam
Tillage:	No-Till
Test/Plot Size:	480 ft. x 15 ft. per variety
Planting Equipment	John Deere 1590 NT Drill
Planting Date:	October 25, 2013
Row Spacing:	7.5 inches
Variety:	Various
Seeding Rate:	20 seed/row ft.
Crop Protection:	Herbicides: 1pt./A Gramoxone Inteon - October 15, 2013; 0.5 oz./A of Harmony
	Extra – April 11, 2014
	Fungicides: 4.0 oz./A of Folicur 3.6 – April 11, 2014
	Insecticides: 1.28 oz./A of Karate Z – April 11, 2014
	Fertilizer: 40 lbs./A of N - October 15, 2013; 60 lbs./A of N February 3, 2014; 30
	lbs./A of N April 11, 2014
Harvest Date:	July 2, 2014
Harvest Equipment:	John Deere 6620

Brand	Variety	H ₂ O (%)	Test wt.	Yield Bu/A	% of Check**
Dyna-Gro	9042*	11.5	55.5	75.3	
Dyna-Gro	9223	11.3	56.0	76.5	104.0
Pioneer	26R20	11.3	54.0	77.0	104.7
Pioneer	26R10	11.3	57.0	71.9	97.6
Southern S.	5205	11.2	56.0	82.8	112.5
USG	3612	11.3	57.0	78.6	106.8
VCIA	Jamestown	11.4	53.0	77.5	105.3
Southern S.	8870	11.6	58.0	78.8	107.1
USG	3251	11.6	57.5	70.1	95.2
VCIA	Merl	11.4	56.0	79.5	108.1
Dyna-Gro	9042*	11.3	55.5	71.9	

*Check Variety

**% of Check is calculated by dividing the individual variety yield by the mean of the two checks located on either side of the variety plot.

Discussion: Compare these results with regional data to choose varieties that match your production conditions. Test weights were low probably due to the moderate late season scab pressure and the lack of a corresponding fungicide application. The GS 25 nitrogen application was based on tiller counts and the GS 30 nitrogen application was based on tissue testing.



2014 Westmoreland County Wheat Variety Plot

Cooperators:	Producer: F.F. Chandler, Jr.
_	Extension: Stephanie Romelczyk, VCE – Westmoreland
	Keith Balderson, VCE – Essex
	Robbie Longest, VCE Intern
	Agribusiness: Participating Companies; Curtis Packett and Rusty Green, CPS

Previous Crop:	Corn
Soil Type:	Suffolk sandy loam
Tillage:	No-till
Planting Date:	November 5, 2013
Fertilizer:	Fall: 40-80-80 per acre
	January 1, 2014: 313 lbs. per acre 16-0-0-6
	March 22, 2014: 250 lbs. per acre 20-0-0-4 and Agrotain and Accomplish
Crop Protection:	Burndown Herbicide: Gramoxone @ pts. per acre
	Pre: Finesse at .4 oz per acre
	Post: Starane on April 12, 2014
	Fungicides: Quadris @ 3 oz. per acre on March 22, 2014 and Prosaro @ 7 oz. per
	acre at flowering
	Insecticides: 2 oz. per acre Tombstone with the Prosaro

Harvest Date: July 1, 2014

Variety	Test Weight	Moisture	Yield Bu /A
	(LDS./Bu.)	(70)	@13.5%)
Southern States 5205	60	12.8	66.59
Southern States 8870	56	12.5	78.32
Pioneer 26R20	60	12.2	80.43
Pioneer 26R10	58	12.5	83.70
USG 3612	57	12.8	81.64
USG 3251	59	12.6	80.86
DynaGro 9223	58	12.5	82.40
DynaGro 9042	59	12.4	81.85
Great Heart 940	61	12.6	80.62
Great Heart 933	59	12.3	82.45
Southern Harvest	60	12.9	75.63
3200			
VCIA Merl	61	12.8	76.20
VCIA Jamestown	62	12.7	68.81

Discussion: Use this and other Virginia Tech variety information for 2015.

Virginia Cooperative Extension Virginia Tech • Virginia State University

2014 Middlesex County Wheat Variety Plot

Cooperators:	Producer:	Jason Benton
-	Extension:	David Moore, VCE-Middlesex
		Dorothy Baker, VCE-Summer Intern
Previous Crop:	Corn	-
Soil Type:	Suffolk Fine S	Sandy Loam
Tillage:	No Till into s	hredded stalks
Planting Date:	October 28, 2	013
Fertilizer:	23-60-100-3s	Broadcast
	30-0-0-3s E	December
	40-0-0-4s-Feb	oruary
	60-0-0-6s-Ma	rch
Crop Protection:	Glyphosate B	urndown
	Warrior + Fin	lesse-December
	Osprey and P	owerFlex-March; Prosaro-May
Harvest Date:	June 30, 2014	ļ

Variety	Test Weight	M%	Yield@13.5%)
USG 3612	59	12.5	86.4
Check (P26R10)	60	13.1	92.7
USG 3251	60	13.4	84.9
Check	59	13.4	92.1
Merl	61	13.5	87.3
Check	60	13.4	92.1
Jamestown	62	13.5	79.0
Check	58.5	13.4	99.5
Dyna-Gro 9171	59	13.4	82.0
Check	59.5	13.3	93.9
Dyna-Gro 9012	61	13.4	84.5
Check	59	13.1	87.4
USG 3120	62	13.0	80.1
Check	60	13.3	91.4
Dyna-Gro 9042	59	13.5	86.7
Check	59	13.4	98.0
Check	60	13.1	100.4
Dyna-Gro 9223	59	13.7	102.8
Check	59.5	13.4	100.2
SS5205	60.5	13.3	99.6
Check	59	13.9	96.3
SS8870	60	13.9	91.0
Check	59.5	13.7	101.4
Pioneer 26R20	59	13.7	94.4
Check	59	13.7	100.6
Pioneer 26R10	60	13.8	100.6



Check	59	13.8	101.4	
Southern Harvest	60	13.5	99.8	

Discussion: Use this and other Virginia Tech variety information for 2015.

Seed Size and Treatment Information for Variety Plots in Westmoreland, Middlesex, and Virginia State

Variety	(seed/lb.)	Seed Treatments
USG 3612	10,050	Vizor5Z
USG 3251	11,448	Vizor5Z + Kickstand
Merl	11,569	Tebuconazole, Metalaxyl, Thiabendazole
Jamestown	12,930	Tebuconazole, Metalaxyl, Thiabendazole
DG 9171	14,000	Foothold Extra + Awaken
DG 9012	11,100	Foothold Extra + Awaken
USG 3120	10,400	Vizor5Z
DG 9042	10,300	Foothold Extra + Awaken
Shirley		Foothold Extra + Awaken
DG 9223	11,650	Foothold Extra + Awaken
SS 5205	12,145	Prothioconazole, Penflufen, Metalaxyl, Chlorpyrifos Methyl,
Deltamethrin		
SS 8870	14,100	(same as above SS 5205)
Pio. 26R20	12,500	Vibrance Extreme
Pio. 26R10	11,000	Vibrance Extreme

Vizor5Z: Metalaxyl, Tebuconazole, Imazalil, Thiabendazole, Imidacloprid, Zinc Kickstand: Indole3-butyric acid (Rooting hormone in the *Auxin* family) Foothold Extra: Imidacloprid, Tebuconazole, Metalaxyl Awaken: Nutritional seed treatment (6-0-1) with Zn, Cu, Mn, B, Fe, Mo Vibrance Extreme: Sedaxane, Difenoconazole, Mefenoxam



2014 Chesapeake Wheat Variety Plot

Cooperators:	Producer: Extension: Agribusinesses:	Marvel Nicholas Watson Lawrence-Chesapeake Crop Production Service-Shawboro; Great Heart Seed; Pioneer eed: Southern States, Chesapeake: White Hat Seed, Hertford, NC	
Previous Crop:	Corn	eed, southern states, chesupeake, white that seed, fierhold, ive	
Soil Type:	Chesapeake Fine Sandy Loam		
Tillage:	Disk followed by disk with culti-packer		
Planting Date:	November 1, 2013		
Fertilizer:	Preplant: 375 lbs	./A 16.2 <u>N</u> - 8.8 <u>P</u> - 23.5 <u>K</u> + 5.9 lbs. Sulfur	
	32% Liquid Nitro	ogen top-dress @ 90 lbs./A	
Crop Protection:	April 4 th : ³ / ₄ oz./A	A Harmony + 4 oz./A Salvo (2,4-D)	
Harvest Date:	June 26, 2014		

Variety	Test Weight	Moisture	Yield
	(lbs./bu.)	(%)	bu./A
			@13.5%
Pioneer 26R10	55.9	15.0	100.9
Pioneer 26R41	57.2	14.7	95.40
Pioneer 26R20	57.2	14.6	94.63
Great Heart 933	55.8	14.4	94.60
Agripro Oakes	58.5	16.2	94.45
Dyna Gro 9171	56.2	14.0	92.90
USG 3409	56.3	15.5	91.38
Great Heart 940	57.8	15.2	89.85
Agripro SY Harrison	55.3	16.6	89.60
Great Heart 934	55.1	15.1	89.10
Shirley	56.5	14.0	88.50
Pioneer 26R53	57.7	14.8	86.77
Dyna Gro 9373	55.7	14.6	86.69
Southern States 5205	55.7	16.2	84.63
USG 3555	55.5	14.9	83.06
USG 3120	55.2	14.5	82.49
Southern States 520	53.1	16.6	79.45
Southern States 8412	54.0	16.3	78.30
Yorktown	56.3	14.3	76.85
Dyna Gro 9042	55.9	14.2	67.96

Discussion: This test had few pest problems. No insecticide was needed and Harmony plus 2,4-D did a good job of controlling weeds. Some head scab was present; no fungicide was applied at heading. Lodging was minimal and overall an excellent yielding plot.



2014 Virginia Beach Wheat Variety Plot

Cooperators:	Producer: Russell H. Malbone
	Extension: Roy D. Flanagan III
Previous Crop:	No till Field Corn
Soil Type:	Predominantly Nimmo Loam and Dragston Fine Sandy Loam
Tillage:	Conventional Tillage, Disked 2X, Field Cultivator 2X
Planting Date:	November 21, 2013
Fertilizer:	Topdressed with 250lbs of 46-0-0
Crop Protection:	No fungicide
	No insecticide
	No herbicide
Harvest Date:	July 5, 2014

Variety	Test Weight	Moisture	Yield
-	(Lbs./Bu.)	(%)	Bu./A
			@13.5%)
—Check	62	13.2	85.44
Pioneer 26R10	60	12.6	97.93
Pioneer 26R20	62	13.6	93.54
SS 5205	61	13.1	90.1
Agripro SY Harrison	62	13.1	87.71
SS 520	61	13.1	85.32
Dyna Gro 9042	60	12.9	83
Agripro Oakes	62	13.6	80.86
Pioneer 26R41	62	13.3	80.81
Great Heart 933	60	13.3	80.35
SS 8412	61	13.3	79.9
Great Heart 934	60	12.6	79.63
USG 3555	62	13.4	77.87
Great Heart 940	61	13.4	75.49
Yorktown	61	13.3	74.78
Pioneer 26R53	61	13.3	73.19
USG 3409	62	13.1	72.56
USG 3120	62	13.2	69.29
Shirley	58	12.5	66.89
Dyna Gro 9373	60	13.2	65.38
Average	61	13.16	80.00

Discussion: Use this and other Virginia Tech variety information for 2015.



Variety	Virginia State	Westmoreland	Middlesex	Average	Rank
Pioneer 26R10	71.9	83.7	100.6	85.4	2
Pioneer 26R20	77	80.43	94.4	83.94	3
Great Heart 933	-	82.45	-	-	
Great Heart 940	-	80.62	-	-	
Dyna-Gro 9171*	-	-	82	-	
Dyna-Gro 9042	73.6	81.85	86.7	80.72	8
Dyna-Gro 9012*	-	-	84.5	-	
Dyna-Gro 9223	76.5	82.4	102.8	87.23	1
USG 3120*	-	-	80.1	-	
USG 3612	78.6	81.64	86.4	82.21	6
USG 3251	70.1	80.86	84.9	78.62	9
Southern States 5205	82.8	66.59	99.6	83	4
Southern States 8870	78.8	78.32	91	82.71	5
VCIA Merl	79.5	76.2	87.3	81	7
VCIA Jamestown Southern Harvest	77.5	68.81	79	75.1	10
3200	-	75.63	99.8	-	
Average	76.63	78.42	89.94		

2014 Virginia Cooperative Extension On-Farm Wheat Variety Plot Yield Summary for Central and Upper Coastal Plain (bushels/acre)

*Dyna-Gro 9171, Dyna-Gro 9012, and USG 3120 were entered as "early" varieties at the Middlesex location only.



Variety	Virginia State	Westmoreland	Middlesex	Average	Rank
Pioneer 26R10	57	58	60	58.3	4
Pioneer 26R20	54	60	59	57.7	7
Great Heart 933	-	59	-		
Great Heart 940	-	61	-		
Dyna-Gro 9171*	-	-	59		
Dyna-Gro 9042	55.5	59	59	57.8	6
Dyna-Gro 9012*	-	-	61		
Dyna-Gro 9223	56	58	59	57.7	7
USG 3120*	-	-	62		
USG 3612	57	57	59	57.7	7
USG 3251	57.5	59	60	58.8	3
Southern States 5205	56	60	60.5	58.8	3
Southern States 8870	58	56	60	58	5
VCIA Merl	56	61	61	59.3	1
VCIA Jamestown	53	62	62	59	2
Southern Harvest 3200	-	60	60		
Average	56	59.2	60.1		

2014 Virginia Cooperative Extension On-Farm Wheat Variety Plot Test Weight Summary for Central and Upper Coastal Plain (lbs./bu)

Dyna-Gro 9171, Dyna-Gro 9012, and USG 3120 were entered as additional "early" varieties in the Middlesex location only.



Variety	Chesapeake	Virginia Beach	Average	Rank
Pioneer 26R10	100.9	97.93	99.42	1
Pioneer 26R41	95.4	80.81	88.11	4
Pioneer 26R20	94.63	93.54	94.09	2
Pioneer 26R53	86.77	73.19	79.98	13
Great Heart 933	94.6	80.35	87.48	6
Great Heart 940	89.85	75.49	82.67	9
Great Heart 934	89.1	79.63	84.37	8
Dyna-Gro 9171	92.9	-	-	-
Dyna-Gro 9373	86.69	65.38	76.04	16
Dyna-Gro 9042	67.96	83	75.48	19
Dyna-Gro Yorktown	76.85	74.78	75.82	18
Dyna-Gro Shirley	88.5	66.89	77.7	15
USG 3409	91.38	72.56	81.97	11
USG 3555	83.06	77.87	80.47	12
USG 3120	82.49	69.29	75.89	17
Southern States 5205	84.63	90.1	87.37	7
Southern States 520	79.45	85.32	82.39	10
Southern States 8412	78.3	79.9	79.1	14
Agripro Oakes	94.45	80.86	87.66	5
Agripro SY Harrison	89.6	87.71	88.66	3
Average	87.38	79.72		

2014 Virginia Cooperative Extension On-Farm Wheat Variety Plot Yield Summary for Chesapeake and Virginia Beach (bushels/acre)



Variety	Chesapeake	Virginia Beach	Average	Rank
Pioneer 26R10	55.9	60	58	9
Pioneer 26R41	57.2	62	59.6	2
Pioneer 26R20	57.2	62	59.6	2
Pioneer 26R53	57.7	61	59.4	3
Great Heart 933	55.8	60	57.9	10
Great Heart 940	57.8	61	59.4	3
Great Heart 934	55.1	60	57.6	11
Dyna-Gro 9171	56.2	-	-	
Dyna-Gro 9373	55.7	60	57.9	10
Dyna-Gro 9042	55.9	60	58	9
Dyna-Gro Yorktown	56.3	61	58. 7	6
Dyna-Gro Shirley	56.5	58	57.3	13
USG 3409	56.3	62	59.2	4
USG 3555	55.5	62	58.8	5
USG 3120	55.2	62	58.6	7
Southern States 5205	55.7	61	58.4	8
Southern States 520	53.1	61	57.1	14
Southern States 8412	54	61	57.5	12
Agripro Oakes	58.5	62	60.3	1
Agripro SY Harrison	55.3	62	58.7	6
Average	56	60.9		

2014 Virginia Cooperative Extension On-Farm Wheat Variety Plot Test Weight Summary for Chesapeake and Virginia Beach (lbs./bu)



14

2014 Turbo Till Wheat Plot

Cooperators:	Producer:	Calvin Haile
-	Extension:	Keith Balderson; VCE, Middle Peninsula
Previous Crop:	Corn	
Soil Type(s):	Tetotum loam	
Tillage:	No-till	
Planting Date:	October 21, 20)14
Fertilizer:	Total: 140-60	-60-35S; fall fertilizer and split spring nitrogen
Crop Protection:	Burndown Her	rbicide: Gramoxone and 2,4-D
	Herbicides: P	owerflex and Harmony Extra
	Fungicide: Str	ratego YLD in second spring N application
Treatment:	Strips of Turbe	o Till vs. stalk chopping header
Harvest Date:	June 30, 2014	

Treatment	*Tillers/ft. ²	Yield (Bu./A @13.5%)
Check (1)	59	87.57
Turbo Till (1)	91	90.69
Check (2)	80	90.69
Turbo Till (2)	67	94.34
Check (3)	91	94.79
Turbo Till (3)	98	92.62
Average Check	76.67	91.02
Average Turbo Till	85.33	92.55
LSD (0.10)	NS	NS

* Tiller counts were taken on March 11, 2014 and the reported tillers/ft² is the average of two counts taken that day from each plot.

Discussion: Under continuous no-till systems, crop residue continues to remain a concern when planting season begins. Good seed to soil contact is crucial in initiating seed germination and insuring a solid stand within a field. Some farmers have adopted vertical tillage which is a way of residue incorporation with minimal soil disturbance. In this plot, vertical tillage prior to planting did not increase yields.



2014 Middlesex Prosaro Fungicide Test

Cooperators:	Producer:	Jason Benton	Middlegay		
	Extension:	David Moore, VCE	'E Summer Intern		
		Dorotiny Daker, VC			
Previous Crop:	Corn				
Soil Type:	Suffolk Fine Sar	ndy Loam			
Tillage:	No Till into Shredded Corn Stalks				
Planting Date:	October 24, 2013				
Fertilizer:	27-60-110-5s Broadcast				
	30-0-0-3s Decer	nber			
	40-0-0-4s Febru	ary			
C D	60-0-0-6s March	1			
Crop Protection:	Glyphosate Bur	ndown ag Dagamhar			
	Opprov March	se December			
	PowerFlex Mar	-h			
	Prosaro-May				
Treatment:	Alternating strip	s with and without	Prosaro		
Variety:	Shirley				
Harvest Date:	June 25 2014				
	June 20, 2011				
	<i>Julie 20</i> , 2011				
Treatment	Test Weigl	nt %	Yield		
Treatment	Test Weigl lbs./bu.	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A		
Treatment	Test Weigl lbs./bu.	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A @13.5%		
Treatment With Prosaro 1	Test Weigl lbs./bu.	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1	Test Weigl Ibs./bu. 58.5 58.5	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5 59	nt % Moisture 14.2 14.2 14.5	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 2	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5 59 59	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2 93.8		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 2	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5 59 59	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2 93.8		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 3	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5 59 59 59.5	nt % Moisture	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2 93.8 97.2		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 3 Without Prosaro 3	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5 58.5 59 59 59 59 59.5 58.5	nt % Moisture 14.2 14.2 14.5 14.4 14.6 14.5	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2 93.8 97.2 89.6		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 3 Without Prosaro 3	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5 59 59 59 59 59.5 58.5	nt % Moisture 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.5 14.4 14.6 14.5	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2 93.8 97.2 89.6		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2 With Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 3	Test Weigl lbs./bu. 58.5 58.5 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59	nt % Moisture 14.2 14.2 14.5 14.4 14.6 14.5 14.8*	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2 93.8 97.2 89.6 97.7		
Treatment With Prosaro 1 Without Prosaro 1 With Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 2 Without Prosaro 3 Without Prosaro 3 Avg. with Prosaro Avg. without Prosaro	Test Weigl Ibs./bu. 58.5 58.5 59 59 59 59.5 58.5 58.5 59 59 59 59.5 58.5 58.7* 57.6*	nt % Moisture 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.5 14.4 14.6 14.5 14.8* 13.8*	Yield bu./A @13.5% 97.6 93.5 98.2 93.8 97.2 89.6 97.7 92.3		

* Mennel Milling numbers

Discussion:

Each year, depending on rain and wind events at flowering and variety resistance, results from treating for FHB (head scab) can be varied. This year consistent yield results showed a 4+ yield advantage to applying Prosaro at flowing. Mennel Milling ran test weight, vomitoxin and falling numbers for the compiled samples of the two treatments.



Vomitoxin numbers for the untreated sample was 1.3. Numbers for the treated sample were undetectable. Falling numbers, which measure the baking quality of the wheat, and are an indicator of sprout damage and enzyme activity levels, showed 348 for the untreated and 388 for the treated. (Both are acceptable levels). Use this and other Virginia Tech replicated plot result information when making planting decisions for 2014-2015.



www.ext.vt.edu

2014 Growth Regulator Plot 1

Producer:	Robert Bland IV
Extension:	David Moore, VCE-Middlesex
	Dorothy Baker, VCE Intern
Corn	
Suffolk Fine S	Sandy Loam
No-Till follow	ving Turbo-Chopper
November 3, 2	2013
21-46-90 12s	(Broadcast) December
40lb N/A with	28-0-0-5s February; 55lb N/A with 28-0-0-5s April
Palisade and S	mart Trio (April)
Warrior and P	rosaro (May)
Alternating str	ips with and without Palisade Growth Regulator
USG 3120	
June 23, 2014	
	Producer: Extension: Corn Suffolk Fine S No-Till follow November 3, 2 21-46-90 12s 40lb N/A with Palisade and S Warrior and P Alternating str USG 3120 June 23, 2014

Treatment	Test Weight	%	Yield A
		Moisture	@13.5%
With Palisade 1	60	17.1%	69.40
Without Palisade 1	60	17.0%	66.55
With Palisade 2	59.5	16.8%	64.53
Without Palisade 2	59.5	16.5%	62.53
With Palisade 3	59.5	16.5%	68.84
Without Palisade 3	59.5	16.7%	68.18
Avg. with Palisade	59.7	16.8	67.6
Avg. without Palisade	59.7	16.7	65.8
LSD (0.10)	NS	NS	NS

Discussion:

Palisade is fairly new growth regulator that offers a wider window of application time for barley and wheat producers. It can be applied with March-April nitrogen application and up to flag leaf. The cost of application is about \$10-12.00 per acre for product and application rate is minimal if it can be applied when going over field anyway. So we're talking about \$12-14 per acre. In this test, there was less than a two bushel difference, so statistically not worth it.

Bear in mind, the true reason for using the product is to push yields by pushing nitrogen rates. This product can help prevent lodging in intensively grown small grain situations. The use of this product as a blanket treatment trying to increase yields is of little value. Use this and other Virginia Tech on-farm replicated research when making production decisions for 2015.



2014 Growth Regulator Plot II

Cooperators:	Producer:	Jason Benton							
	Extension:	David Moore, VCE-Middlesex							
		Dorothy Baker, VCE-Intern							
Previous Crop:	Corn								
Soil Type:	Suffolk Fine Sa	andy Loam							
Planting Date:	October 20, 20	13							
Fertilizer:	Broadcast: 35-5	50-110 at planting							
	30-0-0-4s December								
	40-0-0-6s Febr	uary							
	60-0-0-9s-Mar	ch							
Crop Protection:	Gramoxone Bu	rndown							
	Warrior and Fin	nesse in December							
	Osprey between	n 1^{st} and 2^{nd} shot N							
	Powerflex with	2 nd Shot N							
	Prosaro at Flow	vering							
Treatment:	Alternating stri	ps with and without Palisade							
Variety:	Dyna-Gro 9042	2							
Harvest Date:	June 30, 2014								

Treatment	Test Weight	% M	Yield @13.5%
With Palisade 1	60	12.7	91.6
Without Palisade 1	59.5	12.7	93.4
With Palisade 2	60	12.9	98.7
Without Palisade 2	59.5	12.7	91.1
With Palisade 3	60	12.8	97.8
Without Palisade 3	59	12.8	95.1
Avg. with Palisade	60	12.8	96.0
Avg. without	59.3	12.7	93.2
Palisade			
LSD (0.10)	0.5	NS	NS

Discussion:

Palisade is fairly new growth regulator that offers a wider window of application time for barley and wheat producers. It can be applied with March-April nitrogen application and up to flag leaf. The cost of application is about \$10-12.00 per acre for product and application rate is minimal if it can be applied when going over field anyway. So we're talking about \$12-14 per acre. In this test, there was less than a three bushel difference and also some variation, so statistically not worth it.



Bear in mind, the true reason for using the product is to push yields by pushing nitrogen rates. This product can help prevent lodging in intensively grown small grain situations. The use of this product as a blanket treatment trying to increase yields is of little value.

Use this and other Virginia Tech on-farm replicated research when making production decisions for 2015.



2014 Richmond County Barley Growth Regulator Trial

Cooperators:	Producer:	Midway Farms												
	Extension:	Keith Balderson; V	CE, Middle Peninsula											
Previous Crop:	Corn													
Soil Type(s):	Emporia loam, S	Suffolk sandy loam												
Tillage:	No-till													
Planting Date:	October 17, 201	3												
Fertilizer:	Fall: 40-60-0 per acre (Potash applied prior to previous corn Mid January: 20-0-0-3 per acre													
	Early March: 50-0-0-6 per acre													
	Early April: 30-	0-0-3 on Check Plots	s 60-0-0-6 on Palisade Plots											
Treatment:	Palisade applied	at 12 ounces per acr	e on April 10th											
Harvest Date:	6/9/2014													
Treatment	Test Weig	ht Moisture	Yield											
	(Lbs./Bu	.) (%)	Bu./A @13.5%)											
Palisade + N (1)			72.9											
Check (1)			72.0											
Check (2)			72.4											
Palisade + N (2)			79.8											

* Test weight and percent moisture were taken from an aggregate from all replications for each treatment.

11.8*

11.7

Discussion: Palisade is fairly new growth regulator that offers a wider window of application time for barley and wheat producers. In high yielding barley, lodging can be a concern, and using increased nitrogen rates and Palisade might offer the opportunity to increase barley yields. In this plot the growth stage 30 nitrogen application rate was increased by 30 pounds per acre, and Palisade was applied a few days later. The Palisade tended to increase yields, but the increase was not statistically significant nor was the increase enough to pay for the Palisade and extra nitrogen. Hail hit this field just prior to Memorial Day, resulting in lower yields.

79.4

73.1

77.4

72.5

NS



41.8*

42.4

Palisade + N

Average Palisade + N

Average Check

LSD (0.10)

Check

(3)

(3)

2014 Westmoreland County Sprayer Track Yield Loss Plot

Cooperators:	Producer:	Keith Balderson										
-	Extension:	Keith Balderson, VCE, Middle Peninsula										
		Stephanie Romelczyk, VCE, Westmoreland County										
		Robbie Longest, VCE Summer Intern										
Previous Crop:	Corn											
Soil Type(s):	Suffolk sandy lo	am										
Tillage:	No-till; corn stal	ks bush hogged prior to planting										
Planting Date:	October 12, 2013											
Fall Fertilizer:	30-90-90 per acr	30-90-90 per acre										
Topdress:	50-0-0-12.5 per a	acre in February and 50-0-0-6 per acre in early April										
Herbicides:	Burndown:	Gramoxone										
	Pre:	Finesse										
	Post:	Starane and Banvel										
Fungicide:	Caramba at earl	ly flowering										
Insecticide:	Tombstone at e	arly flowering										
Harvest Date:	June 19, 2014											

Treatment	Test Weight	Moisture	Yield
	(Lbs./Bu.)	(%)	Bu./A @13.5%)
Tracks (1)			72.7
Check (1)			96.4
Tracks (2)			68.6
Check (2)			85.3
Tracks (3)			69.7
Check (3)			84.5
Average Tracks	59.1*	13.1*	70.3
Average Check	59.2	13.1	88.7
Difference			(18.4)
Adjusted Difference			(3.68)

*Test weight and % moisture were taken from an aggregate sample for all replications from each treatment. **Discussion:**

Back in the 1990's, there was interest in tramlines. Producers were beginning to accept split application of nitrogen and other intensive management practices. Maybe it is time to re-visit that idea.

In this plot, there is an adjusted difference in sprayer tracks and no tracks. The spray applicator covered 90 feet and the combine header covered 18 feet during harvest. Therefore the average yield difference of 18.4 bushels per acre would actually be 20% of 18.4 bushels per acre, or approximately 3.68 bushels per acre.

Use this and other small grains information when making production decisions for 2014-15.



Totals-2007	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Very High	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0
High	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	2
Sufficient	0	10	7	6	1	7	10	8	4	7	0	6	8
Low	8	0	0	0	9	2	0	2	2	1	0	4	0
Deficient	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Total	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Totals-2008	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Very High	4	12	3	36	0	2	0	0	0	23	59	32	0
High	4	32	20	29	0	20	1	1	8	50	41	28	3
Sufficient	24	46	77	35	22	53	100	38	64	15	1	34	98
Low	71	4	1	1	71	26	0	56	26	5	0	7	0
Deficient	8	7	0	0	8	0	0	6	3	8	0	0	0
Total	111	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101
Totals-2009	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Very High	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	0	0
High	4	7	3	7	0	3	0	0	5	9	6	7	0
Sufficient	8	11	15	5	14	15	19	10	12	7	0	6	19
Low	7	1	1	0	5	1	0	9	2	0	0	6	0
Deficient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Total	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Totals-2010	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Very High	20	0	13	19	1	1	0	0	0	2	23	4	0
High	2	9	6	3	0	1	0	1	7	4	7	9	0
Sufficient	5	11	11	8	22	21	22	8	13	13	0	14	29
Low	3	2	0	0	6	7	8	13	8	3	0	3	1
Deficient	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	8	2	8	0	0	0
Total	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

2007 - 2014 Small Grain Tissue Sample Summary



Totals-2011	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Barley													
Very High	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
High	2	2	3	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Sufficient	2	3	2	1	5	1	5	1	3	2	2	3	5
Low	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
Deficient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0
Total	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Wheat	0	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	0	•	2	•	0
Very High	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
High	12	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sufficient	22	13	9	18	17	18	19	4	18	17	16	14	17
Low	0	6	0	0	2	1	0	13	1	1	0	5	0
Deficient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Total	34	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
T-+-1- 2012		6	-	14	N 4 -	6-	NI -		7	N.4	F -	0	
Totals-2012	IN	3	Р	ĸ	ivig	Ca	Na	В	ZN	IVIN	Fe	Cu	AI
Barley													
Very High	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
High	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sufficient	1	3	5	5	4	1	4	5	5	4	5	5	4
Low	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Deficient	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Wheat													
Verv High	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
, c High	5	0	6	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Sufficient	14	17	15	21	21	18	23	9	23	22	23	23	18
Low	2	6	3	0	3	0	0	14	1	1	0	0	6
Deficient	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Total	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24



Totals-2013	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Very High	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
High	3	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Sufficient	51	46	60	65	53	58	67	28	55	60	62	65	67
Low	1	4	1	1	8	4	0	38	3	0	0	1	0
Deficient	10	16	3	1	6	2	0	1	9	5	0	1	0
Total	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67

Totals-2014	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Very High	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1
High	18	1	8	6	0	9	0	2	0	0	5	0	3
Sufficient	13	29	27	29	32	26	35	26	35	33	24	35	31
Low	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Deficient	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
Total	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35

Total Tissue	Ν	S	Р	К	Mg	Ca	Na	В	Zn	Mn	Fe	Cu	Al
Samples													
(2007-2014)													
Very High	31	12	16	68	1	3	1	1	0	29	113	36	1
High	52	52	62	51	0	49	1	4	21	67	69	45	10
Sufficient	140	189	228	193	191	218	304	137	232	180	133	205	296
Low	94	25	6	2	105	42	9	152	44	11	0	27	7
Deficient	24	37	3	1	18	3	0	21	18	28	0	2	1
Total	341	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315

Virginia Cooperative Extension Virginia Tech • Virginia State University

25