Virginia Cooperative Extension

REVISED 2003



Grains and Other Agronomic Crops

PUBLICATION 424-001

키

The following are the small grain variety recommendations for Virginia in 2003. The recommendations are based on the agronomic performance in barley and wheat variety tests conducted by the Research and Extension Divisions of Virginia Tech in the various agricultural regions of the state.

* These lines are susce	Arranged in O powdery mildew	CTIES RECOMMENDED rder of Maturity and will likely require seec cation.	d and/or foliar fungicide
COASTAL PLAIN	PIED	MONT	WEST OF BLUE RIDGE
	South of James River	North of James River	
	Ba	urley	[
Callao	Callao	Callao	Callao
		Nomini	Nomini
Price	Price	Price	Price
		Starling	Starling
	W	heat	
SS 520	SS 520	SS 520	SS 520
Pioneer Brand 2684	Pioneer Brand 2684	Pioneer Brand 2684	Pioneer Brand 2684
Sisson	Sisson	Sisson	Sisson
Pioneer Brand 2580	Pioneer Brand 2580	Pioneer Brand 2580	Pioneer Brand 2580
USG 3209	USG 3209	USG 3209	USG 3209
Pioneer Brand 26R24	Pioneer Brand 26R24	Pioneer Brand 26R24	Pioneer Brand 26R24
Tribute	Tribute	Tribute	Tribute
Century II	Century II	Century II	Century II
SS 550	SS 550	SS 550	SS 550
Featherstone 520	Featherstone 520	Featherstone 520	Featherstone 520
	Jackson*		Jackson*
FFR 535	FFR 535	FFR 535	FFR 535
SS560	SS560	SS560	SS560
	Roane*	Roane*	Roane*
	Coker 9184		Coker 9184
	Neuse		Neuse
	Coker 9025		



Virginia Cooperative Extension programs and employment are open to all, regardless of race, color, religion, sex, age, veteran status, national origin, disability, or political affiliation. An equal opportunity/affirmative action employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia State University, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture cooperating. Steven H. Umberger, Director, Virginia Cooperative Extension, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg; Lorenza W. Lyons, Administrator, 1890 Extension Program, Virginia State, Petersburg. VT/0803/spcl/424001



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SMALL GRAINS VARIETIES RECOMMENDED	Page 1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page 2
COMMERCIAL BARLEY AND WHEAT ENTRIES	Page 3
INTRODUCTION	Page 4
BARLEY VARIETIES SUMMARY OF BARLEY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR THE 2003	Page 4
HARVEST SEASON	Page 5
TABLE 1. Yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Test, 2003 harvest. TABLE 2. Two-year average yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Tests, 2002 and 2003 harvests.	Page 5-6 Page 7
TABLE 3. Three-year average yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley	-
Tests, 2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests. TABLE 4. Performance summary of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Test, 2003 harvest.	Page 8 Page 9-10
WHEAT VARIETIES	Page 11
SUMMARY OF WHEAT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR THE 2003	
HARVEST SEASON	Page 11
TABLE 5. Yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest. TABLE 6. Two-year average yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Tests,	Page 12-13
2002 and 2003 harvests. TABLE 7. Three-year average yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat	Page 14
Tests, 2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests.	Page 15
TABLE 8. Performance summary of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest.	Page 16-17
EVALUATION OF FUNGICIDE/VARIETY INTERACTIONS TABLE 9. Summary of performance of entries using seed treatment (Baytan® and Gaucho®) and	Page 18
foliar fungicide (Tilt®) in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest. TABLE 10. Performance of entries in Virginia Tech Wheat Test at Warsaw, 2003 harvest, fungicide	Page 18-19
Vs. no fungicide.	Page 20-21
TABLE 11. Two- and three-year yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test at Warsaw, 2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests, fungicide vs. no fungicide.	Page 22
WHEAT PLANTED NO-TILL INTO CORN STUBBLE TABLE 12. Summary of performance of entries in the Virginia Tech No-Till Wheat Test at Warsaw,	Page 23
2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests.	Page 23-24
TRITICALE VARIETIES	
TABLE 13. Performance summary of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Test, 2003 harvest.	Page 25
TABLE 14. Yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Test, 2003 harvest. TABLE 15. Two-year average yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Tests,	Page 25
2002 and 2003 harvests.	Page 26
TABLE 16. Three-year average yield performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Tests,2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests.	Page 26
MILLING AND BAKING QUALITY	Page 27
TABLE 17. Milling and baking quality of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test based on evaluation Of the 2002 harvest.	Page 27-28
WHEAT SCAB RESEARCH	Page 29
TABLE 11. Reaction of entries in the 2002-03 Virginia Tech Wheat Test to Fusarium Head Blight.	Page 29-30
SELECTING WHEAT VARIETIES FOR SPECIFIC PLANTING DATES	Page 31

COMMERCIAL BARLEY ENTRIES

Virginia Tech and Virginia Crop Improvement Association, 9142 Atlee Station Road, Mechanicsville, VA 23116 - Callao, Doyce, Nomini, Price, and Thoroughbred.

COMMERCIAL AND EXPERIMENTAL WHEAT ENTRIES

AgriPro Wheat, PO Box 411, 520 East 1050 South, Brookston, IN 47923 - Benton and Crawford.

Featherstone Seed Company, 13941 Genito Road, Amelia, VA 23002 - Featherstone 520.

University of Georgia, GA Station, 1109 Experiment Street, Griffin, GA 30223 - GA931241E16, GA931233E17, and GA931470E62.

University of Maryland, CMREC/Beltsville Facility, 12000 Beaver Dam Road, Laurel, MD 2 0708 - MD11-52, MD5-46, and MD71-5.

Michigan State University, 286 PSSB, East Lansing, MI 48824 - MSU line D8006.

North Carolina Foundation Seed Producers, Inc., 8220 Riley Hill Road, Zebulon, NC 27597 – Arcia (triticale). North Carolina State University, 840 Method Rd, Unit 3, Box 7629, Raleigh, NC 27695-7629 – Neuse, NC98-26143, and NC98-24050.

Pioneer Hibred International, Inc., Eastern Division, Tipton, IN 47072 - Pioneer Brand 2580, Pioneer Brand 26R24, Pioneer Brand 26R58, and Pioneer Brand 2684.

Resource Seeds, Inc., 2355 Rice Pike, Union, KY 41091 - Trical 336, Trical 2115, and Trical 2205 (all triticales).

Royster-Clark, Inc., 70 N. Market St., Mt. Sterling, OH 43143 - Tribute (seed available 2003), V9212, and V9301.

Southern States Cooperative, PO Box 26234, Richmond, VA 23260 - SS 520, SS 535, SS 550, SS 560.

Syngenta Seeds, Inc., PO Box 1240, Winterville, NC 28590 - Century II, Coker 9025, Coker 9184, Coker 9295 and Coker B960457.

Uni-South Genetics, 2640-C Nolensville Road, Nashville, TN 37211 - USG 3209, USG 3350, USG 3430, and USG 3650.

Virginia Tech and Virginia Crop Improvement Association, 9142 Atlee Station Road, Mechanicsville, VA 23111 - Jackson, McCormick (seed available 2004), Roane, Sisson, and all lines prefixed by VA.

Appreciation is expressed to the Virginia Small Grains Check-Off Board, AGSouth Genetics, AgriPro Wheat, Featherstone Seed, Pioneer Hibred International, Resource Seeds, Southern States Cooperative, Syngenta Seeds, UniSouth Genetics, Western Plant Breeders, and the Virginia Crop Improvement Association for their financial support of the Small Grains Variety Testing Program at Virginia Tech.

Conducted and summarized by the following Virginia Tech employees: Dr. Daniel E. Brann, Extension Agronomist, Grains (retired); Dr. Carl Griffey, Small Grains Breeder; Mr. Harry Behl, Agricultural Supervisor; Ms. Elizabeth Rucker and Mr. Tom Pridgen, Research Associates. Location Supervisors: Mr. Tom Custis (Painter); Mr. Bobby Ashburn (Holland); Mr. Bob Pitman, Mr. Mark Vaughn, Mr. Jason Kenner, and Mr. Charles Sanford (Warsaw); Mr. Bill Wilkinson III and Mr. Bud Wilmouth (Blackstone); Dr. Carl Griffey, Mr. Wynse Brooks, and Mr. Tom Pridgen (Blacksburg); Mr. Robert A. Clark, Mr. Tom Stanley, Richard Fitzgerald (Shenandoah Valley); Mr. David Starner and Mr. Denton Dixon (Orange).

INTRODUCTION

The following tables present results from barley and wheat varietal tests conducted in Virginia in 2001-2003. Yield data are given for individual locations; yield and other performance characteristics are averaged over the number of locations indicated. Performance of a given variety often varies widely over locations and years which makes multiple location-year averages a more valid indication of expected performance than data from a single year or location. All tests in 2001-2003 were grown in seven-inch rows planted at 22 seeds per row foot with the exception of Blacksburg and Warsaw which were grown in six-inch rows at 22 seeds per row foot. The plots were trimmed during the winter to 9 feet in length. Details about management practices for barley and wheat are included in the bulletin. The wheat and barley tests at Holland and Blackstone were not planted due to wet soils until early December. Excess rain during the winter on these soils resulted in poor and variable crop development. The plots were therefore abandoned.

BARLEY VARIETIES

Hulled Barley

Virginia is an excellent place to produce barley. It fits well into cropping systems and has a yield potential in excess of 100 bushels per acre as shown by the three year averages of current varieties and Virginia Tech lines. Even in an extremely wet harvest season newer hulled barley lines had average test weights exceeding 46 pounds per bushel.

Hulled barley makes good feed for horses, dairy animals, beef, sheep, and some laying hens. The problem is that these industries in Virginia and the mid-Atlantic region use only limited quantities of barley. Profitable barley production on over 50,000 acres in Virginia is going to require revival of international market opportunities and/or development of barley varieties that livestock feeders want to buy.

Virginia and the mid-Atlantic region have produced low test weight, poor quality barley for too many years. No one wants to buy barley with 43-45 pound test weight like we have been growing with awnletted varieties such as Wysor, Nomini, or Starling. The genetic test weight of these varieties is about 48 pounds/bushel but it decreases rapidly with drought during grain fill or rainfall during harvest. Cash grain barley producers must switch from varieties such as Nomini and Starling to Price. This is the only way we can possibly compete in export markets. This plea is made for the entire mid-Atlantic region since the Perdue facility at Norfolk receives grain from the whole region. It will not be sufficient for Virginia farmers to switch since their grain will be blended with barley from the entire mid-Atlantic region.

Virginia Tech released a new barley variety named Price in 2002. Price averaged 107 bushels per acre the past two years at Warsaw compared to 103 bushels per acre for Callao. The major advantage of Price over Callao is improved standability. Price, like Callao, has excellent test weight in the 50-51 pounds per bushel range in good seasons and above 45 pounds per bushel in poor harvest conditions. Price will be available to producers in the fall of 2003. The other notable on hulled barley is the new release from Virginia Tech, Thoroughbred (old VA97B-388.) This exciting, new hulled barley has plump, bright color, <u>beautiful</u> seed with test weights in the 50 pounds per bushel range. Even in the extremely poor harvest conditions of 2003, Thoroghbred had an average test weight of 46.7 pounds per bushel. It is also the top-yielding variety statewide each of the past three years with a three-year statewide average of 116 bushels per acre. Thoroughbred has large awns that are easily removed at harvest because they break easily during harvesting. Markets for this excellent quality hulled barley need to be developed.

Hulless Barley

Hulless barley grows and looks like regular barley until it is almost mature. When almost mature, the glumes start to separate. The grain is separated from the glumes when combined. Grain of hulless barley looks more like wheat than traditional barley.

Hulless barley is creating considerable interest among livestock feeders. Samples of Doyce, the new hulless barley released by Virginia Tech, have been shared with some representatives of the poultry, swine, dairy, equine and beef industries. A new publication, "Hulless Barley Marketing Initiative for the Mid-Atlantic Poultry and Swine Industries" relates current information about feeding hulless barley to swine and poultry. It is available in limited quantities (request

a copy by contacting Elizabeth Rucker at (540) 231-4490 or egrucker@vt.edu.)

As you can see from Table 1, the yields of current hulless barley lines are generally about 20 percent lower than those of hulled barley lines. This is expected since the hull makes up about 15 percent of the weight of traditional barley and the breeding program for hulless barley is relatively new. Rapid progress of this new program at Virginia Tech by Dr. Carl Griffey's small grains breeding group is evident when two-year average yields of South Carolina's line H585 at 71 bushels per acre are compared with those of Doyce at 78 bushels per acre and VA00H-65 at 83 bushels per acre. Test weight of hulless barley is generally in the 56 to 60 pounds per bushel range. The poor harvest conditions in 2003 produced test weights in the 52 to 55 pounds per bushel range. Limited quantities of Doyce will be available to seedsment in the fall of 2003 and to producers in the fall of 2004. Standability of Doyce and most of the hulless barley lines is good.

Barley may still have a bright future in Virginia and the mid-Atlantic region **if** we strive to produce what the customer wants. Let's improve our barley quality and see what happens. Hopefully we can take advantage of the excellent hulless barley lines being developed at Virginia Tech by Dr. Griffey's "barley team" of Wynse Brooks and Mark Vaughn. We have a great deal to lose if barley becomes obsolete and no longer is a viable crop in our rotation system.

SUMMARY OF BARLEY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR THE 2003 HARVEST SEASON

Blacksburg - Planted October 19, 2002. Preplant fertilizer was 25-70-60 on October 8, 2002. Site was fertilized with 80-0-0 plus 0.6 oz Harmony Extra7 on March 23, 2003. Harvest occurred on June 15, 2003.

Blackstone - Planted December 3, 2002. Plots were abandoned.

Painter - Planted November 26, 2002. Preplant fertilizer was 500 lb 5-10-10 applied October 16, 2002. One hundred lb N and 0.5 oz Harmony Extra7 were applied March 13, 2003. Malathion® 57EC was applied at 1.5 pt May 6, 2003. Harvest occurred on June 25, 2003.

Warsaw - Planted October 23, 2002. Preplant fertilizer was 30-60-60 applied October 2, 2002. Fertilization at 40 lb N using 24-0-0-3 was applied January 15, 2003. Harmony Extra7 at 0.6 was applied March 18, 2003. Fertilization at 40 lb N using 24-0-0-3 was applied March 24, 2003. Warrior® at 2.56 oz was applied May 2, 2003. Harvest occurred June 23, 2003.

Orange - Planted October 9, 2002. Preplant fertilization was 500 lb 5-10-10 with 15 lb S on September 19, 2002. Sixty lb N and Harmony Extra® at 0.4 oz were applied March 11, 2003. Harvest occurred on June 23, 2003.

Hulled Lines	Blacks	ourg	Orange	Painter	Warsa	w	Avera	ge	
THOROUGHBRED	91	+	104	92	96	+	96	+	
VA96-44-304	83		95	109	79		92	+	
VA97B-175	87	+	91	104	83		91		
VA99B-161	77		100	98	91	+	91		
VA01B-26	84		92	105	85		91		
NOMINI	77		91	96	95	+	90		
VA97B-176	78		91	107	79		89		
VA00B-182	82		90	108	77		89		
PRICE	64		102	105	82		88		
VA98B-213	75		97	101	79		88		
VA99B-303	72		99	110	72		88		
VA98B-208	81		85	100	82		87		
VA99B-206	78		94	106	72		87		
BARSOY	70		92	106	75		86		
VA98B-199	62	-	100	96	85		86		
VA99B-125	79		85	102	80		86		
VA00B-279	81		83	89 -	90	+	86		
CALLAO	74		93	98	75		85		
VA98B-524	66		87	105	74		83		
VA00B-91	63		72 -	99	72		77	-	
VA92-42-46	58	-	90	85 -	67	-	75	-	
WYSOR	56	-	86	- 80	63	-	71	-	
Average	74		92	100	79		86		
LSD (0.05)	12		15	11	9		6		
C.V.	11		11	8	8		10		

Table 1. Yield performance (bu/acre) of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Test, 2003 harvest.*

Hulless Lines	Blacksburg	Orange	Painter	Warsaw	Average
VA00H-65	66 +	83	73	60	71 +
VA00H-89	59	85	78	65	71 +
VA01H-13	56	74	87 +	63	70
VA01H-26	56	75	87 +	63	70
VA00H-99	58	84	75	61	69
VA01H-37	55	76	87 +	60	69
SC880248	64	78	70	61	68
VA00H-88	63	72	70	66 +	68
VA00H-97	61	77	72	61	68
VA00H-72	58	77	75	59	67
VA00H-74	60	78	67	63	67
DOYCE	52	74	80	63	67
VA01H-44	55	77	79	57	67
VA01H-124	60	73	74	64	67
VA00H-70	58	78	70	60	66
H585	55	78	68	58	65
VA00H-8	54	79	71	56	65
VA00H-32	55	75	74	56	65
VA01H-14	50	75	77	59	65
VA01H-96	55	71	81	50 -	64
VA01H-133	52	71	82	53	64
VA00H-12	58	74	66	54	63
VA01H-128	53	69	74	56	63
VA01H-122	48	63 -	70	59	60 -
VA00H-10	50	72	59 -	56	59 -
VA01H-5	43 -	66	74	53	59 -
Average	56	75	74	59	66
LSD (0.05)	9	12	9	7	5
C.V.	11	11	8	8	10

Table 1. Yield performance (bu/acre) of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Test, 2003 harvest, continued.*

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average, where hulled and hulless lines have been statistically analyzed separately.

Hulless barley is similar to hulled barley except the glumes thrash free of the seed when combined. Since the hulls make up 15% of the dry grain weight, yields of hulless barley are expected to be 15% lower than hulled barley.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hulled Lines	Blacksburg		Orange		Painter		Warsaw		Average	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	THOROUGHBRED		F	105		95			+	110	+
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VA99B-303						+				+
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NOMINI	107 +	F	95		95		119	+	105	+
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VA99B-161										
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			F								
PRICE 84 - 109 + 101 107 100 VA99B-125 96 90 97 112 99 VA99B-206 89 92 105 111 99 VA98B-208 89 92 105 111 99 VA98B-208 89 89 101 111 98 VA98B-524 80 - 90 110 + 111 98 VA98B-524 80 - 90 110 + 111 98 VA98B-208 89 97 93 103 - 95 - VA98B-204 82 - 92 89 - 99 - 90 - VA92-42-46 82 - 92 89 99 110 100 LSD (0.05) 7 11 9 7 4 C.V. 8 11 9 6 9 V			F								
VA99B-125 96 90 97 112 99 VA99B-206 89 92 105 111 99 VA98B-199 88 104 92 113 99 VA98B-208 89 89 101 111 98 VA98B-208 89 89 101 111 98 VA98B-524 80 90 110 + 111 98 CALLAO 89 97 93 103 95 - WYSOR 88 94 82 95 90 - VA92-42-46 82 92 83 9 110 100 LSD (0.05) 7 11 9 7 4 C.V. 8 11 9 6 9 Hulless Lines Blacksburg Orange Painter Warsaw Average VA00H-65 76 + 87 + 78 92 83 + <td></td>											
VA99B-206 89 92 105 111 99 VA98B-199 88 104 92 113 99 VA98B-208 89 89 101 111 98 VA98B-208 89 89 101 111 98 VA98B-524 80 - 90 110 + 111 98 CALLAO 89 97 93 103 - 95 - WYSOR 88 94 82 - 95 - 90 - Average 93 98 99 110 100 100 100 LSD (0.05) 7 11 9 6 9 Hulless Lines Blacksburg Orange Painter Warsaw Average VA00H-65 76 + 87 + 78 92 83 + VA00H-88 74 + 78 76 97 + 81 + VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 72 91 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-		+						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $											
CALLAO 89 97 93 103 - 95 - WYSOR 88 94 82 - 95 - 90 - VA92-42-46 82 - 92 89 - 99 - 90 - Average 93 98 99 110 100 LSD (0.05) 7 11 9 7 4 C.V. 8 11 9 6 9 Hulless Lines Blacksburg Orange Painter Warsaw Average VA00H-65 76 + 87 + 78 92 83 + VA00H-88 74 + 78 76 97 + 81 + VA00H-99 70 87 + 76 92 81 + VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 - 76 77<											
WYSOR 88 94 82 - 95 - 90 - VA92-42-46 82 - 92 89 - 99 - 90 - Average 93 98 99 110 100 100 LSD (0.05) 7 11 9 7 4 C.V. 8 11 9 6 9 Hulless Lines Blacksburg Orange Painter Warsaw Average VA00H-65 76 + 87 + 78 92 83 + VA00H-88 74 + 78 76 97 + 81 + VA00H-99 70 87 + 76 92 81 + VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 - 76 82 + 94 78 VA00H-70 69 78			-				+				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									-		-
Average939899110100LSD (0.05)711974C.V.811969Hulless LinesBlacksburgOrangePainterWarsawAverageVA00H-6576+87+789283+VA00H-8874+787697+81+VA00H-997087+769281+VA00H-747181708978DOYCE62-7682+9478VA00H-706978729177SC8802486476778876-VA00H-127170-7682-74VA00H-326364-758672-VA00H-326364-758672-H58558-75688371-Average6877748977LSD (0.05)67673							-		-		-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-				-		-		-
C.V.811969Hulless LinesBlacksburgOrangePainterWarsawAverageVA00H-6576 $+$ 87 $+$ 78 92 83 $+$ VA00H-8874 $+$ 78 76 97 $+$ 81 $+$ VA0H-9970 87 $+$ 76 92 81 $+$ VA0H-7471 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 $ 76$ 82 $+$ 94 78 VA00H-70 69 78 72 91 77 SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 $ 76$ 82 $-$ VA00H-12 63 64 $ 75$ 86 72 $-$ VA00H-32 63 64 $ 75$ 86 72 $-$ H585 58 $ 75$ 68 $ 83$ 71 $-$ Average 68 77 74 89 77 $-$ LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3 $-$	0									100	
Hulless LinesBlacksburgOrangePainterWarsawAverageVA00H-6576+87+789283+VA00H-8874+787697+81+VA00H-997087+769281+VA00H-747181708978DOYCE62-7682+9478VA00H-706978729177SC8802486476778876VA00H-127170-7682-VA00H-326364-758672-VA00H-326364-758672-Hs8558-75688371-Average6877748977-LSD (0.05)67673-											
VA00H-65 76 + 87 + 78 92 83 + VA00H-88 74 + 78 76 97 + 81 + VA00H-99 70 87 + 76 92 81 + VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 - 76 82 + 94 78 VA00H-70 69 78 72 91 77 SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75	C.V.	8		11		9		6		9	
VA00H-65 76 + 87 + 78 92 83 + VA00H-88 74 + 78 76 97 + 81 + VA00H-99 70 87 + 76 92 81 + VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 - 76 82 + 94 78 VA00H-70 69 78 72 91 77 SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75				-							
VA00H-88 74 + 78 76 97 + 81 + VA00H-99 70 87 + 76 92 81 + VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 - 76 82 + 94 78 VA00H-70 69 78 72 91 77 SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 - 3		-									
VA00H-99 70 87 + 76 92 81 + VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 - 76 82 + 94 78 VA00H-70 69 78 72 91 77 SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 3					+						
VA00H-74 71 81 70 89 78 DOYCE 62 - 76 82 + 94 78 VA00H-70 69 78 72 91 77 SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3			F						+		
DOYCE62-7682+9478VA00H-706978729177SC8802486476778876VA00H-127170-7682-VA00H-10657165-8872-VA00H-326364-758672-H58558-7568-8371-Average68777489773					+						+
VA00H-70 69 78 72 91 77 SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - VA00H-32 63 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 - LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3											
SC880248 64 76 77 88 76 VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 - - LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3			-				+	-			
VA00H-12 71 70 - 76 82 - 74 - VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 - LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3											
VA00H-10 65 71 65 - 88 72 - VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3											
VA00H-32 63 64 - 75 86 72 - H585 58 - 75 68 - 83 71 - Average 68 77 74 89 77 LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3					-				-		-
H58558-7568-8371-Average6877748977LSD (0.05)67673							-				-
Average6877748977LSD (0.05)67673					-						-
LSD (0.05) 6 7 6 7 3			-				-				-
C.V. 9 9 8 7 9	· · · · ·										
	C.V.	9		9		8		7		9	

Table 2. Two-year average yield performance (bu/acre) of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Tests, 2002 and 2003 harvests.*

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average, where hulled and hulless lines have been statistically analyzed separately.

Hulless barley is similar to hulled barley except the glumes thrash free of the seed when combined. Since the hulls make up 15% of the dry grain weight, yields of hulless barley are expected to be 15% lower than hulled barley.

Hulled Lines	Blacksburg	Orange		Painter		Warsaw		Average	
THOROUGHBRED	115 +	· 104	+	110		132	+	116	+
VA97B-176	104	93		115	+	120		109	+
NOMINI	108 +	· 93		102		125	+	108	
VA97B-175	108 +	· 92		108		117		107	
VA98B-213	100	99		107		117		106	
VA99B-161	97	106	+	102		119		106	
VA96-44-304	91 -	. 99		115	+	117		106	
VA98B-208	95	89		113		120		105	
PRICE	92 -	100	+	111		115		105	
VA99B-125	104	87		103		120		104	
VA98B-199	95	101		100		122	+	104	
VA99B-206	95	89		112		115		103	
CALLAO	95	92		104		110	-	101	-
WYSOR	91 -	. 89		91	-	106	-	95	-
VA92-42-46	88 -	· 92		92	-	107	-	95	-
Average	99	95		106		117		105	
LSD (0.05)	6	9		8		5		4	
C.V.	8	11		10		6		9	
Hulless Lines	Blacksburg	Orange		Painter		Warsaw		Average	
DOYCE	73	75		91	+	102	+	86	+
SC880248	71	78		83		95		82	
VA00H-12	78 +	· 71		81		91		81	
H585	68	73		77	-	91		78	-
VA00H-32	70	66	-	79		91		77	-
Average	72	73		82		94		81	
LSD (0.05)	5	7		5		4		3	
C.V.	8	11		8		6		9	

Table 3. Three-year average yield performance (bu/acre) of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Tests, 2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests.*

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

Table 4. Performance summary of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Test, 2003 harvest.*

		Test	Date		Leaf	Net	Sept-	
	Yield	W eight	Headed	Height	Rust	Blotch	oria	Lodging
Hulled Lines	(Bu/a)	(Lb/bu)	(Mar31+)			(0-9)		(0.2-10)
	(4)	(4)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(3)	(1)	(4)
THOROUGHBRED	96 +	46.7 +	31 +	36 +	6 +	3	0 -	1.9 -
VA96-44-304	92 +	46.0	25 -	33 -	3	4 +	1	4.1
VA97B-175	91	46.2 +	26 -	32 -	3	3	1	3.2
VA99B-161	91	44.4	28	34 -	5 +	3	1	6.3 +
VA01B-26	91	44.6	28	38 +	1 -	3	1	2.6 -
NOMINI	90	43.0 -	26 -	42 +	5 +	2 -	0 -	4.2
VA97B-176	89	47.4 +	27 -	34 -	3	3	1	4.2
VA00B-182	89	46.6 +	24 -	33 -	4 +	4 +	3 +	6.4 +
PRICE	88	45.6	29 +	35	5 +	3	2 +	4.4
VA98B-213	88	46.2 +	30 +	32 -	5 +	3	2 +	3.5
VA99B-303	88	44.6	29 +	34 -	1 -	5 +	3 +	5.4 +
VA98B-208	87	46.1 +	30 +	29 -	3	2 -	1	1.7 -
VA99B-206	87	45.5	28	37 +	1 -	5 +	3 +	4.7
BARSOY	86	46.2 +	24 -	38 +	8 +	2 -	0 -	3.7
VA98B-199	86	44.9	30 +	35	5 +	3	2 +	5.5 +
VA99B-125	86	47.0 +	29 +	32 -	4 +	3	1	5.0
VA00B-279	86	44.0 -	23 -	39 +	2 -	2 -	0 -	3.1 -
CALLAO	85	46.2 +	27 -	32 -	3	3	1	6.1 +
VA98B-524	83	43.3 -	32 +	32 -	0 -	3	1	4.5
VA00B-91	77 -	45.4	31 +	33 -	1 -	3	1	2.0 -
VA92-42-46	75 -	42.2 -	29 +	41 +	0 -	7 +	5 +	5.3 +
WYSOR	71 -	42.7 -	29 +	40 +	7 +	3	0 -	5.3 +
Average	86	45.2	28	35	3	3	1	4.2
LSD (0.05)	6	0.9	1	1	1	1	1	1.1
C.V.	10	2.8	4	4				

continued.		Test	Date		Leaf	Net	Sept-	
	Yield	Weight	Headed	Height	Rust	Blotch	oria	Lodging
Hulless Lines	(Bu/a)	(Lb/bu)	(Mar31+)	(In)		(0-9)		(0.2-10)
	(4)	(4)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(3)	(1)	(4)
VA00H-65	71 +	55.2 +	30 +	34 -	7 +	4	0 -	2.5
VA00H-89	71 +	54.1	31 +	35	7 +	4	1	1.8 -
VA01H-13	70	52.9 -	30 +	35	1 -	4	1	3.6
VA01H-26	70	52.9 -	30 +	33 -	0 -	3 -	2 +	2.9
VA00H-99	69	54.9 +	31 +	34 -	7 +	4	1	1.6 -
VA01H-37	69	51.7 -	30 +	33 -	1 -	4	1	4.3 +
SC880248	68	54.0	29	38 +	7 +	4	1	3.8 +
VA00H-88	68	54.2	30 +	34 -	6 +	4	1	2.3
VA00H-97	68	54.8 +	31 +	34 -	6 +	4	1	2.1
VA00H-72	67	53.9	30 +	35	7 +	4	1	3.0
VA00H-74	67	55.0 +	30 +	34 -	7 +	4	1	2.2
DOYCE	67	52.5 -	30 +	35	0 -	4	2 +	3.4
VA01H-44	67	52.5 -	31 +	32 -	0 -	3 -	2 +	3.3
VA01H-124	67	54.5 +	28 -	29 -	5 +	3 -	2 +	1.2 -
VA00H-70	66	54.6 +	30 +	34 -	7 +	4	1	2.2
H585	65	54.3	28 -	36 +	8 +	4	0 -	2.9
VA00H-8	65	54.4	28 -	36 +	7 +	4	1	2.9
VA00H-32	65	53.7	27 -	33 -	5 +	4	1	3.0
VA01H-14	65	52.8 -	30 +	37 +	0 -	4	2 +	4.1 +
VA01H-96	64	53.3	27 -	34 -	0 -	6 +	4 +	4.1 +
VA01H-133	64	49.4 -	27 -	36 +	0 -	5 +	3 +	4.0 +
VA00H-12	63	54.3	29	37 +	6 +	3 -	0 -	5.0 +
VA01H-128	63	54.5 +	31 +	34 -	2 -	4	2 +	0.9 -
VA01H-122	60 -	55.4 +	31 +	38 +	1 -	4	2 +	2.2
VA00H-10	59 -	53.4	31 +	34 -	6 +	4	0 -	2.3
VA01H-5	59 -	53.0	31 +	34 -	1 -	4	1	2.7
Average	66	53.7	29	35	4	4	1	2.9
LSD (0.05)	5	0.8	1	1	1	1	1	0.9
C.V.	10	2.1	3	3				

Table 4. Performance summary of entries in the Virginia Tech Barley Test, 2003 harvest, continued.*

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average, where hulled and hulless lines have been statistically analyzed separately.

The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of locations on which data are based.

The 0-9 ratings indicate degree to which plant is affected, where 0=none and 9=total plant affected. Belgian Lodging Scale = Area X Intensity X 0.2. Area = 1-10, where 1 is barley unaffected and 10 is entire plot affected and Intensity = 1-5, where 1 is barley standing upright and 5 is barley totally flat. Hulless barley is similar to hulled barley except the glumes thrash free of the seed when combined. Since the hulls make up about 15% of the dry grain weight, yields of hulless barley are expected to be about 15% lower than hulled barley.

WHEAT VARIETIES

When considering wheat variety performance, it is necessary to take seed treatment used on the varieties into consideration. Entries in this test have different seed treatments that may greatly impact performance. Seed treatments are indicated by an acronym in parentheses following the name. For example, USG3209 (RT) indicates that this entry was treated with raxil and thiram. "B" is Baytan®, "D" is Dividend®, "R" is raxil, and "T" is thiram. Virginia Tech experimental lines and some of the public varieties such as Massey were treated with raxil and thiram.

Selecting the best wheat varieties is somewhat challenging but becomes easier with adequate information of performance over multiple environments. The past two seasons across Virginia have provided the opportunity to evaluate daylength sensitivity, spring freeze damage, glume blotch, scab (Fusarium head blight), and general plant health in an excessively wet harvest season. We are pleased to report that many newer wheat varieties and lines performed well in all environments tested!

The future for wheat varieties adapted to Virginia conditions is very positive. Dr. Carl Griffey, Virginia Tech's small grains breeder, has many lines starting with "VA" shown in the table that are in the top-yielding group with good disease resistance. He is also ready for specialty markets as shown by excellent performance of white-seeded lines such as VA97W-375WS.

The top-yielding released varieties in 2003 and the previous two years are Southern States' SS520 and Royster Clark's Tribute. Both have excelled in the Coastal Plain, Piedmont, and Valley regions. SS520 is a relatively early wheat that is about two inches taller than average with good test weight and good standability. It has good powdery mildew resistance, relatively good resistance to glume blotch, but is susceptible to leaf and stripe rust. SS520 has yielded above average in the notill test at Warsaw over the past three years but produced only average yields of 78 bushels per acre in 2003. Tribute, a new variety marketed by Royster Clark, has excellent test weight, is relatively short, has good standability and is of medium maturity. Tribute is daylength sensitive which can reduce the probability of excessive growth when planted timely to early during warm winters. Tribute has excellent resistance to powdery mildew, leaf rust, and barley yellow dwarf. It has moderate resistance to stripe rust and glume blotch. Tribute has also been a top-yielding variety when planted notill into corn residue and has moderate resistance to scab spread in the head.

McCormick, a new release from Virginia Tech that will be available to producers for the fall of 2004, Sisson, Southern States' SS560 and SS550, and Pioneer Brand 26R24 have statewide averages over 80 bushels per acre the past three years and all were average or above in yield in 2003. Royster Clark's V9212 has only been tested one year. It was average in yield statewide and average in yields in the Coastal Plain. McCormick is short with good standability, has excellent test weight and excellent resistance to powdery mildew and leaf rust. It has above average resistance to glume blotch and scab. Refer to Table 9 for ratings of test weight, maturity, height, lodging, and disease of the other varieties listed above.

Released varieties producing average statewide yields over the past two seasons were Century II, Pioneer Brand 2580, Jackson, USG 3209, USG 3650, Featherstone 520, Pioneer Brand 2684, Jackson, and SS535. Some of these varieties performed very well at one or more test locations so refer to specific location yields listed in Table 5.

Released varieties yielding less than average the past two seasons include Roane, Coker 9184, Coker 9295, Neuse, Coker 9025, and Massey. Varieties tested for only one year that were less than average in yield include Benton, USG 3350, V9301, USG 3430, and Soissons.

SUMMARY OF WHEAT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR THE 2003 HARVEST SEASON

Blacksburg - Planted October 15, 2002. Preplant fertilizer was 25-70-60 applied October 8, 2002. Harmony Extra7 was applied at 0.6 oz on March 23, 2003 with 80-0-0. Harvest occurred on July 12, 2003.

Warsaw - Planted October 23, 2002. Preplant fertilizer was 30-60-60 applied October 2, 2002. Fungicide plots received 4 oz Tilt® on May 14, 2003. Harmony Extra® at 0.6 oz was applied March 18, 2003. Forty lb N using 24-0-0-3(S) was applied January 15, 2002. Sixty lb N using 24-0-0-3(S) was applied March 24, 2003. Warrior T7 was applied at 2.56 oz on May 2, 2003. Harvest occurred June 26, 2003.

Blackstone - Planted December 3, 2002. Plots were abandoned.

Painter - Planted November 26, 2002. Preplant fertilizer was 500 lb 5-10-10 applied October 16, 2002. One hundred lb N and 0.5 oz Harmony Extra7 were applied March 13, 2003. Malathion® 57EC was applied at 1.5 pt May 6, 2003. Fungicide plots received 4 oz Tilt® on May 7, 2003. Harvest occurred on June 26, 2003.

Holland - Planted December 3, 2002. Plots were abandoned.

Orange - Planted October 9, 2002. Preplant fertilizer was 500 lb 5-10-10 with 15 lb sulfur applied September 19, 2002. Sixty lb N with Harmony Extra® were applied March 11, 2003. Harvest occurred on June 25, 2003.

Shenandoah Valley - Planted October 8, 2002. One hundred lb N and 0.5 oz Harmony Extra7 were applied March 7, 2003. Harvest occurred July 15, 2003.

	Coastal Plain Region					F	Pied	dmont and Blue Ridge Region						State-		
							Blac				Shenand	_			wide	
Line	Paint	er	Wars	aw	Avera	ige	bur	-	Oran	ge	Valley		Aver	age	Aver	
SS 520(R)	67	+	81	+	74	+	80	+	93	+	62	+	78	+	77	+
VA97W-375WS	64		83	+	74	+	69	+	80	+	64	+	71	+	72	+
MD11-52(R)	67	+	80	+	73	+	70	+	77		67	+	71	+	72	+
MV5-46	59		76		68		72	+	73		74	+	73	+	71	+
TRIBUTE	66		78	+	72		65		83	+	64	+	71	+	71	+
VA97W-24	63		77		70		73	+	86	+	53		71	+	70	+
VA99W-176	64		81	+	73	+	70	+	80	+	58		69		70	+
VAN98W-342	59		84	+	71		71	+	74		64	+	70	+	70	+
VA98W-631	67	+	80	+	74	+	69	+	74		60		68		70	+
MD71-5	64		80	+	72		71	+	73		61		68		70	+
SS 550(B)	64		72		68		70	+	76		62	+	69		69	+
SISSON	63		65		64		72	+	81	+	56		70	+	68	+
McCORMICK	53		81	+	67		66		83	+	57		69		68	+
VA97W-375RS	63		75		69		66		77		60		68		68	+
VA98W-706	61		78	+	70		67		80	+	55		67		68	+
VA00W-38	58		74		66		64		81	+	60		68		68	+
VA01W-205	60		75		68		71	+	77		54		67		67	+
VAN98W-170WS	59		71		65		68	+	79	+	54		67		66	+
VAN99W-20	62		78	+	70		65		70		57		64		66	+
VA99W-28	62		78	+	70		61		73		58		64		66	+
VA01W-353	62		68		65		67		77		58		67		66	+
SS 560(R)	64		71		68		71	+	73		53		66		66	+
V9212(D)	56		71		63		65		78	+	60		68 65		66 65	+
VA01W-18	61		69		65		60		80	+	56		65		65	
GA931470E62(D)	56		66		61 67		62		<u>76</u> 71		<u>61</u> 57		66		65	
VA98W-335	60		73 77				63						63		64	
VA00W-286	59 61		77 72		68 67		63 60		70 75		52 56		62 63		64 64	
CRAWFORD FEATHERSTONE 520(RT)	64		72 69		67 67		60 64		75 69		56 54		63 62		64 64	
GA931241E16(RT)	60		76		68		59		70		54 59		63		64	
GA931233E17(D)	56		69		62		67		70		<u> </u>		65		64	
PIONEER 26R24(D)	59		73		66		65		77		48		63		64	
PIONEER 26R58(D)	53 54		68		61		66		82	+	40 50		66		64	
CENTURY II(D)	59		68		64		65		69	•	58		64		64	
VA01W-145	60		70		65		64		68		56		62		63	
COKER B960457(D)	56		60	_	58		62		80	+	56		66		63	
VA00W-462	62		65		64		63		68	-	54		61		62	
VA01W-99	61		67		64		64		67		54		62		62	
VA01W-112	48	_	72		60		63		69		57		63		62	
VA01W-148	60		75		68		62		66		51		59		62	
PIONEER 2580(D)	55		74		65		64		74		46	-	61		62	
JACKSON(B)																
ROANE(B)	59		60	-	60		62		73		52		62		61	
VA99W-419	62		63		62		61		69		50		60		61	
VA00W-464	54		74		64		60		67		52		60		61	
ROANE(B) VA99W-419	62		63	-	62		61		69		50		60		61	

Table 5. Yield performance (bushels/acre) of entries using standard treatment (no fungicide) in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest, continued.*

	Coas	tal Plain F	Region	Piec	dmont and	l Blue Ridge F	Region	State-
				Blacks-		Shenandoah	0	wide
Line	Painter	Warsaw	Average	burg	Orange	Valley	Average	Average
VA00W-526	59	68	63	65	63	53	60	61
MSU line D8006	56	61 -	59	61	64	62 +	62	61
NC98-24050(R)	61	63	62	54 -	70	58	60	61
VA99W-452	61	68	65	58	67	48	57	60 -
SS 535(R)	63	68	66	57	61 -	53	57	60 -
USG 3209(RT)	56	66	61	59	67	52	59	60 -
COKER 9025(D)	60	75	68	56 -	59 -	52	56	60 -
VAN00W-147	49 -	66	57	59	66	56	60	59 -
PIONEER 2684(D)	60	72	66	62	62 -	44 -	56	59 -
USG 3650(RT)	53	61 -	58	59	62 -	59	60	59 -
VA01W-258	53	63	58	59	60 -	55	58	58 -
NEUSE(R)	57	66	61	56 -	56 -	57	56	58 -
NC98-26143(R)	57	76	65	54 -	58 -	53	55	58 -
COKER 9184(D)	66	68	67	57	58 -	47	54 -	58 -
VA01W-317	51 -	65	59	61	53 -	55	56	57 -
COKER 9295(D)	57	69	64	56 -	61 -	47	55	57 -
BENTON	51 -	62 -	57	56 -	68	45 -	56	56 -
USG 3350(RT)	56	57 -	57	57	68	43 -	56	56 -
MASSEY	51 -	54 -	53 -	57	59 -	49	55	54 -
VA00W-566	46 -	61 -	54 -	56 -	63	45 -	54 -	54 -
VA01W-283	60	68	64	57	46 -	45 -	49 -	54 -
V9301	54	57 -	56	59	59 -	44 -	54 -	54 -
USG 3430(RT)	54	50 -	52 -	51 -	61 -	41 -	51 -	51 -
VA00W-562	47 -	61 -	54 -	48 -	55 -	43 -	49 -	50 -
SOISSONS	43 -	44 -	43 -	46 -	46 -	33 -	41 -	42 -
Average	59	70	64	62	70	54	62	63
LSD (0.05)	8	8	9	6	8	8	8	3
C.V.	8	7	13	7	8	11	16	8

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

Table 6.	Two-year average yield performance (bu/acre) of entries using standard treatment
(no fun	gicide) in the Virginia Tech Wheat Tests, 2002 and 2003 harvests.*

	Coa	Coastal Plain Region F					nd Blue	e Ric	lge Reg	ion	State-	
				,	Blacks				0 0		wide	
LINE	Painter	Warsa	aw	Average	burg		Oran	ge	Avera	ge	Avera	ge
SS 520(R)	79	96	+	88	72		93	+	83	+	85	+
VA97W-24	75	97	+	86	72		94	+	83	+	84	+
TRIBUTE	81	93	+	87	72		91	+	81	+	84	+
VA97W-375RS	77	95	+	86	73		86	+	80		82	+
VA97W-375WS	80	98	+	89	69		83		76		82	+
SISSON	76	88		82	74	+	88	+	81	+	81	+
McCORMICK	72	93	+	83	71		89	+	80		81	+
SS 560(R)	75	91		83	74	+	85	+	80		81	+
SS 550(B)	76	92	+	84	70		87	+	78		81	+
VA99W-176	77	95	+	86	67		87	+	77		81	+
VA00W-526	78	90		84	72		83		77		80	+
MD11-52(R)	78	94	+	86	68		82		75		80	+
PIONEER 26R24	71	89		80	70		86	+	78		79	
VA98W-706	74	90		82	69		84		76		79	
VAN98W-342	69	94	+	83	71		81		76		79	
VA98W-631	77	92	+	85	70		81		75		79	
VA98W-335	76	90		83	66		81		73		78	
PIONEER 2580	73	90		81	68		76		72		76	
VA00W-38	62	82	-	73	69		84		76		75	
VA00W-464	69	89		79	60		82		71		74	
USG 3209(RT)	71	85		78	66		77		71		74	
CENTURY II(D)	64	83		74	65		80		73		73	
VAN00W-147	67	84		75	63		79		71		73	
VA99W-419	72	80	-	76	66		76		71		73	
USG 3650(RT)	72	80	-	76	65		76		70		73	
FEATHERSTONE 520	72	83		77	65		75	-	70		73	
PIONEER 2684	75	84		79	63		72	-	67		73	
JACKSON(B)	68	81	-	74	68		73	-	70		72	
SS 535(R)	74	84		79	62		72	-	67		72	
ROANE(B)	60	76	-	68 -	67		77		72		70	-
COKER 9184(D)	70	81	-	76	67		67	-	67		70	-
COKER 9295(D)	75	82	-	78	59		69	-	64	-	70	-
NEUSE(R)	67	78	-	73	63		72	-	67		69	-
COKER 9025(D)	64	80	-	72	61		69	-	65	-	68	-
MASSEY	62	67	-	64 -	• •		68	-	64	-	64	-
VA00W-562	59	76	-	67 -	54	-	66	-	60	-	63	-
Average	70	87		79	66		80		73		75	
LSD (0.05)	16	5		11	8		5		8		5	
C.V.	20	5		18	13		7		15		12	

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

	Coa	stal Plai	n Re	aion		Piedmo	nt a	nd Blue	Rid	no Rogi	on	State	
	COa	3101 F 101		giun		Blacks				ye negi		wide	
LINE	Painter	Warsa	w	Avera	ae	blacks	,-	Orang	ne	Avera	ae	Avera	
TRIBUTE	88	93	+	91	+	78		90	+	83	+	87	+
SS 520(R)	84	95	+	90	+	77		90	+	83	+	86	+
VA97W-24	81	92	+	87		78		91	+	84	+	85	+
SS550	85	92	+	89		74		86	+	79		84	+
VA97W-375RS	84	95	+	90	+	74		85	+	79		84	+
VA97W-375WS	86	97	+	92	+	73		82		77		84	+
SISSON	82	90	+	86		75		87	+	81		83	+
McCORMICK	78	94	+	86		74		87	+	80		83	+
VA99W-176	84	94	+	89		72		85	+	78		83	+
<u>SS 560(R)</u>	77	92	+	85		79	+	82		80		82	+
PIONEER 26R24	81	89		85		73		86	+	79		82	+
VA98W-706	81	91	+	86		75		81		78		82	+
USG 3209(RT)	79	86		82		71		78		74		78	
CENTURY II(D)	71	84		77		74		80		77		77	
PIONEER 2580	77	85		81		70		76		73		77	
PIONEER 2684	80	84		82		68		72	-	70		76	
FEATHERSTONE 520	76	79	-	78		69		75		72		75	
JACKSON(B)	73	78	-	75		74		73	-	74		74	-
SS 535(R)	79	81	-	80		68		71	-	69		74	-
ROANE(B)	66	76	-	71	-	73		75		74		73	-
COKER 9184(D)	75	81	-	78		69		69	-	69		73	-
NEUSE(R)	71	79	-	75		66		71	-	68	-	71	-
COKER 9025(D)	69	74	-	72	-	63	-	67	-	65	-	68	-
MASSEY	64 -	67	-	66	-	59	-	67	-	63	-	64	-
Average	77	86		81		72		79		75		78	
LSD (0.05)	13	4		9		7		5		7		4	
C.V.	18	6		16		, 11		7		, 14		12	
C.V.	18	6		16		11		7		14		12	

Table 7. Three-year average yield performance (bu/acre) of entries using standard treatment (no fungicide) in the Virginia Tech Wheat Tests, 2001, 2002 and 2003 harvests.*

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

			Test		Date				Lod	g-	Powd	-	Le			ime
	Yield		Weigl	ht	Heade	d	Heig	ht	ing	3	Milde	w	Ru	st	Blo	tch
Line	(Bu/a))	(Lb/bı	J)	(Mar31	+)	(In)	(0.2-	10)			(0-9)		
	(5)		(5)		(3)		(3))	(3))	(4)		(2	2)	(2	2)
SS 520(R)	77	+	56.2	+	36	-	38	+	1.2		1		3	+	1	-
VA97W-375WS	72	+	55.4	+	37		34	-	1.5		0	-	0	-	2	-
MD11-52(R)	72	+	56.0	+	36	-	33	-	0.6	-	0	-	1	-	2	-
MV5-46	71	+	57.4	+	37		35	-	1.3		0	-	6	+	1	-
TRIBUTE	71	+	57.6	+	37		36		1.6		0	-	1	-	1	-
VA97W-24	70	+	54.6		40	+	40	+	2.6	+	3	+	5	+	2	-
VA99W-176	70	+	55.2	+	36	-	38	+	1.9		0	-	2		2	-
VAN98W-342	70	+	55.8	+	37		33	-	0.5	-	0	-	2		2	-
VA98W-631	70	+	52.8	-	38		35	-	0.2	-	1		1	-	2	-
MD71-5	70	+	55.8	+	37		33	-	0.3	-	0	-	1	-	2	-
SS 550(B)	69	+	54.6		38		36		2.4	+	0	-	6	+	1	-
SISSON	68	+	55.5	+	36	-	35	-	2.1		1		7	+	2	-
McCORMICK	68	+	57.2	+	37		34	-	0.2	-	0	-	0	-	2	-
VA97W-375RS	68	+	55.6	+	37		34	_	1.7		0	-	0	_	2	-
VA98W-706	68	+	55.8	+	37		34	-	0.6	-	1		0	-	1	-
VA00W-38	68	+	54.2		38		36		0.7		0	-	2		3	
VA01W-205	67	+	55.8	+	38		33	-	1.1		1		0	-	3	
VAN98W-170WS	66	+	56.5	+	36	-	38	+	1.7		1		1	_	1	_
VAN99W-20	66	+	54.1		38		37	+	3.1	+	1		2		1	-
VA99W-28	66	+	53.4	-	38		37	+	2.0		2	+	2		1	-
VA01W-353	66	+	53.5	-	37		33	-	0.3	-	0	-	2		2	-
SS 560(R)	66	+	54.1		39		35	_	0.3	-	2	+	6	+	2	-
V9212(D)	66	+	55.1		37		41	+	1.5		4	+	4	+	3	
VA01W-18	65		55.0		39		35	-	0.9		0	-	1	-	2	-
GA931470E62(D)	65		56.4	+	37		34	-	3.4	+	0	-	0	-	2	-
VA98W-335	64		54.9		39		32	-	0.7		1		0	-	2	-
VA00W-286	64		54.3		38		35	-	1.4		0	-	2		2	-
CRAWFORD	64		55.7	+	37		37	+	1.6		1		0	-	3	
FEATHERSTONE 520(RT)	64		55.4	+	38		37	+	3.4	+	2	+	4	+	1	-
GA931241E16(RT)	64		55.4	+	39		39	+	3.5	+	1		0	-	2	-
GA931233E17(D)	64		55.5	+	38		39	+	2.8	+	1		1	-	2	-
PIONEER 26R24(D)	64		54.2		37		38	+	1.6		1		3	+	2	-
PIONEER 26R58(D)	64		53.9		37		36		0.2	_	2	+	4	+	2	_
CENTURY II(D)	64		55.1		38		37	+	2.1		3	+	5	+	4	+
VA01W-145	63		54.2		38		34	_	1.0		0	-	1	_	2	-
COKER B960457(D)	63		53.3	-	39		40	+	2.1		3	+	6	+	1	-
VA00W-462	62		54.4		37		38	+	1.8		1		2		2	-
VA01W-99	62		55.1		37		36		0.8		1		3	+	3	
VA01W-112	62		52.8	_	37		35	_	1.6		0	-	5	+	2	-
VA01W-148	62		54.9		39		31	_	0.2	-	1		0	-	2	-
PIONEER 2580(D)	62		54.3		37		37	+	0.6	_	1		3	+	2	_
JACKSON(B)	61		54.5		39		38	+	4.0	+	2	+	5	+	3	
	51				00		00	•	7.0	•	~	•		•	0	

35 - 1.8

37 + 0.7

4

1

+ 3 +

3 +

2 -

3

ROANE(B)

VA99W-419

61

61

56.6

54.0

+

39

38

Table 8. Performance summary of entries using standard treatment (no fungicide) in the VirginiaTech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest.*

Tech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest, continued.*

							-								01	
	N/2 1 1		Test		Date				Lodo	-	Powde	-	Le			ime
L in a	Yield		Weig		Heade		Heig		ing		Milde	W	Ru		Blo	tch
Line	(Bu/a)		(Lb/bu	J)	(Mar31	+)	(In	/	(0.2-1				(0-9	/		
	(5)		(5)		(3)		(3))	(3)		(4)		(2	2)		2)
VA00W-464	61		54.6		36	-	36		0.6	-	1		1	-	2	-
VA00W-526	61		54.6		39		33	-	1.6		0	-	1	-	2	-
MSU line D8006	61		54.1		38		40	+	1.2		1		6	+	2	-
NC98-24050(R)	61		51.9	-	39		36		0.8		0	-	0	-	3	
VA99W-452	60	-	53.7		39		35	-	1.0		0	-	3	+	3	
SS 535(R)	60	-	54.8		39		36		3.1	+	1		2		2	-
USG 3209(RT)	60	-	53.1	-	38		35	-	2.0		1		6	+	3	
COKER 9025(D)	60	-	53.2	-	40	+	37	+	2.9	+	3	+	1	-	1	-
VAN00W-147	59	-	54.1		38		37	+	1.7		1		2		2	-
PIONEER 2684(D)	59	-	56.7	+	37		37	+	2.1		2	+	2		3	
USG 3650(RT)	59	-	53.8		36	-	38	+	0.5	-	2	+	1	-	3	
VA01W-258	58	-	51.9	-	39		35	-	0.3	-	1		0	-	3	
NEUSE(R)	58	-	57.3	+	40	+	35	-	2.2		0	-	0	-	2	-
NC98-26143(R)	58	-	51.4	-	40	+	39	+	2.7	+	0	-	1	-	2	-
COKER 9184(D)	58	-	55.8	+	40	+	35	-	0.5	-	2	+	1	-	2	-
VA01W-317	57	-	54.5		38		34	-	1.1		0	-	0	-	2	-
COKER 9295(D)	57	-	53.0	-	36	-	37	+	1.1		2	+	0	-	3	
BENTON	56	-	53.0	-	39		37	+	0.6	-	1		4	+	2	-
USG 3350(RT)	56	-	52.8	-	37		41	+	1.3		5	+	0	-	3	
MASSEY	54	-	54.8		39		42	+	3.9	+	2	+	8	+	2	-
VA00W-566	54	-	57.1	+	35	-	33	-	0.2	-	1		2		2	-
VA01W-283	54	-	54.4		41	+	35	-	3.3	+	0	-	0	-	1	-
V9301	54	-	53.0	-	37		33	-	1.0		4	+	0	-	4	+
USG 3430(RT)	51	_	52.1	-	36	-	41	+	1.1		6	+	0	-	4	+
VA00W-562	50	_	54.7		38		36		1.2		1		1	-	2	-
SOISSONS	42	-	49.6	-	40	+	32	-	0.2	-	0	-	6	+	4	+
Average	63		54.4		38		36		1.5		1		2		3	
LSD (0.05)	3		0.8		2		1		0.9		1		1		1	
C.V.	8		2.2		6		3									

* Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average. The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of locations on which data are based.

Belgian Lodging Scale = Area X Intensity X 0.2. Area = 1-10, where 1 is wheat unaffected and 10 is entire plot affected and Intensity = 1-5, where 1 is wheat standing upright and 5 is wheat totally flat.

The 0-9 ratings indicate degree to which plant is affected, where 0=none and 9=total plant affected.

EVALUATION OF FUNGICIDE/VARIETY INTERACTIONS

Genetic yield potential is certainly one of the keys to variety success. In most cases the top yielding varieties/lines with fungicide and Gaucho® were also the top yielding lines without Gaucho® seed treatment and fungicide in the spring.

The treated tests are conducted at Warsaw and Painter to assure that each variety is given an opportunity to express its yield potential even if it is susceptible to foliar diseases that can be controlled by Baytan® and Tilt® and insect pressures that can be controlled by Gaucho®. Variety comparisons should <u>only</u> be made within treated or non-treated plots since the plots were located in different areas of the field. It is however interesting to compare the response of McCormick to added fungicide and Gaucho® (-3 bushels per acre) to a relatively disease susceptible variety like Jackson (+12 bushels per acre.)

Test Date Lodg-Powderv Leaf Glume Yield Weight Headed Mildew Rust Blotch Height ing Line Mar31+ (Bu/a) (Lb/bu) (ln)(0.2-10)(0-9)(2) (1)(2)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)SS 520 88 33 36 0.2 0 + 58.4 + 1 1 -TRIBUTE 88 + 60.3 35 34 0.3 0 0 + _ 1 SISSON 3 86 + 59.1 + 34 _ 32 _ 0.2 0 + 3 + MV5-46 86 + 60.2 + 35 35 0.3 0 1 2 _ _ 35 31 0 0 2 VA97W-375RS 85 + 59.0 + _ 0.5 VA99W-176 34 33 0.2 0 85 + 58.3 0 1 _ _ VA00W-526 85 + 59.0 36 32 0.2 0 0 2 + _ _ _ SS 550 85 + 58.4 35 32 0.2 0 2 + 2 _ _ _ 2 VA97W-24 84 + 57.7 38 + 37 + 0.2 1 1 _ VA01W-205 84 59.5 35 32 0.2 2 0 1 + + _ + VA01W-283 39 + 31 0.2 0 0 84 + 59.1 + 1 _ _ _ + 3 VA01W-353 84 57.5 35 32 0.2 0 1 _ _ _ + _ MD11-52 84 + 59.7 + 35 -31 0.5 0 0 3 + _ 2 3 V9212 84 + 58.3 34 38 + 0.2 0 _ + + VA97W-375WS 83 35 32 0 2 59.0 + _ _ 0.3 _ 0 _ VAN98W-342 83 59.5 + 35 29 0.2 0 0 2 _ _ _ _ VA99W-28 83 57.7 35 35 0.5 0 0 1 -_ _ 2 1 VA01W-99 83 59.3 + 36 36 + 0.2 + 1 VA01W-148 83 58.7 37 + 30 0.2 0 0 3 + _ _ _ MD71-5 83 59.6 35 30 0.2 0 0 3 + + _ 2 2 **FEATHERSTONE 520** 83 59.7 + 36 35 1.3 1 + + 2 GA931241E16 83 59.7 + 36 38 + 0.7 3 + 0 **PIONEER 26R24** 83 58.9 + 35 37 + 0.2 2 + 2 + 1 _ VAN99W-20 82 58.2 36 36 0.2 0 0 + 1 _ VA98W-335 81 59.4 37 30 0.4 0 0 3 + + + VA00W-286 81 58.0 36 32 0.2 0 1 1 _ _ VA01W-112 81 57.9 35 32 0.2 0 1 2 _ _ _ _ 2 GA931233E17 81 59.6 + 35 _ 37 + 1.8 + 3 + 0 SS 560 2 2 2 81 37 33 0.2 57.9 + + + 0.7 3 2 2 **CENTURY II** 81 59.3 + 36 35 + + **COKER B960457** 38 0.2 2 81 56.7 -+ 38 + + 1 1 80 35 32 3 VA98W-706 59.4 + 0.2 0 0 + _ _ 2 VA01W-145 80 57.7 36 33 0.2 0 0 _ _ _ CRAWFORD 80 59.9 + 35 35 0.7 0 _ 0 2 _ _

Table 9. Summary of performance of entries using seed treatment (Baytan and Gaucho) and foliar fungicide (Tilt) at Painter and Warsaw in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest.*

Table 9. Summary of performance of entries using seed treatment (Baytan and Gaucho) and foliar fungicide (Tilt) at Painter and Warsaw in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test, 2003 harvest, cont'd.*

foliar fungicide (1 ilt) a		Walsaw			<u>'</u> gn			Which I ca			TVC3	<i></i>	<u>m u</u>	•
		Test		Dat	e			Lodg-	Powd	lery	Le	af	GI	ume
	Yield	Weigh	nt	Head	led	Heig	ht	ing	Mild	ew	Ru	st	BI	otch
Line	(Bu/a)	(Lb/bu	J)	(Mar3	31+	(In))	(0.2-10)			()	0-9)		
	(2)	(2)		(1))	(1)		(1)	(1))	(1)		(1)
GA931470E62	80	59.9	+	35	-	32	-	0.2	2	+	0	-	2	
PIONEER 2684	80	57.6	-	36		35		0.3	2	+	2	+	1	-
SS 535	80	59.1	+	38	+	34		0.3	2	+	0	-	1	-
USG 3209	80	57.3	-	35	-	33		0.4	0	-	3	+	2	
McCORMICK	79	60.1	+	36		31	-	0.2	0	-	0	-	1	-
VA98W-631	79	56.7	-	38	+	32	-	0.2	3	+	0	-	2	
COKER 9025	79	57.8	-	38	+	33		0.4	4	+	0	-	1	-
VA00W-38	78	56.6	-	35	-	35		0.2	2	+	1		2	
VA00W-464	78	58.8		34	-	32	-	0.2	0	-	0	-	2	
VA01W-18	78	58.2		36		33		0.2	0	-	0	-	1	-
COKER 9184	78	59.9	+	38	+	32	-	0.2	3	+	0	-	1	-
JACKSON	77	58.5		38	+	34		0.2	2	+	2	+	2	
VA99W-452	77	57.7	-	37	+	33		0.3	0	-	1		3	+
VA00W-462	77	57.9	-	35	-	36	+	0.2	1		0	-	2	
VA01W-317	77	58.7		35	-	33		0.2	0	-	0	-	3	+
NC98-24050	77	55.7	-	37	+	33		0.2	0	-	0	-	3	+
PIONEER 2580	77	57.4	-	35	-	33		0.2	2	+	0	-	2	
VA99W-419	76	57.5	-	37	+	34		0.2	1		1		2	
MSU line D8006	76	57.2	-	37	+	36	+	0.2	2	+	2	+	2	
BENTON	76	57.2	-	36		32	-	0.2	1		1		2	
NEUSE	76	59.8	+	37	+	32	-	0.3	0	-	0	-	1	-
NC98-26143	76	57.0	-	38	+	35		0.2	0	-	0	-	2	
COKER 9295	76	56.8	-	38	+	33		0.2	2	+	0	-	2	
VAN98W-170WS	75	58.8		34	-	35		0.2	1		0	-	2	
V9301	75	57.4	-	33	-	32	-	0.2	3	+	0	-	1	-
VA00W-562	74 -	00.1	+	36		32	-	0.2	1		0	-	2	
VA01W-258	74 -	••••	-	38	+	33		0.2	1		0	-	3	+
PIONEER 26R58	74 -	56.6	-	37	+	33		0.2	1		1		3	+
USG 3650	73 -	•••••	-	38	+	35		0.2	1		0	-	2	
MASSEY	72 -			37	+	39	+	0.3	2	+	5	+	2	
VAN00W-147	72 -	01.2	-	37	+	33		0.2	0	-	1		4	+
USG 3350	72 -		-	35	-	38	+	0.2	7	+	0	-	3	+
VA00W-566	71 -	60.4	+	32	-	30	-	0.2	0	-	1		2	
ROANE	68 -	00.2	+	38	+	32	-	0.2	6	+	1		3	+
USG 3430	65 -	00.0	-	33	-	37	+	0.2	7	+	0	-	3	+
SOISSONS	61 -	55.9	-	39	+	30	-	0.2	0	-	3	+	2	
Average	79	58.4		36		34		0.3	1		1		2	
LSD (0.05)	5	0.5		1		2		0.6	1		1		1	
C.V.	6	0.8		2		4								

Seed received Baytan® and Gaucho® seed treatment as recommended; plots were treated with Tilt® at 4 oz/acre at heading. Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of locations on which data are based. Belgian Lodging Scale = Area X Intensity X 0.2. Area = 1-10, where 1 is wheat unaffected and 10 is entire plot affected and Intensity = 1-5, where 1 is wheat standing upright and 5 is wheat totally flat.

The 0-9 ratings indicate degree to which plant is affected, where 0=none and 9=total plant affected.

			Yield				-	Test Wei	ght	
			(Bu/a					(Lb/bu		
Line	Wit	h	Witho	ut I	Difference	With		Withou	ut	Difference
VA01W-148	91	+	75		16	58.9	+	58.5	+	0.4
SS 520(R)	91	+	81	+	10	58.0		58.3	+	-0.3
TRIBUTE	90	+	78	+	12	60.8	+	60.7	+	0.1
MV5-46	89	+	76		13	60.2	+	60.2	+	0.0
MD11-52(R)	88	+	80	+	8	59.5	+	59.2	+	0.3
GA931241E16(RT)	88	+	76		12	59.7	+	59.6	+	0.1
GA931233E17(D)	88	+	69		19	59.6	+	59.6	+	0.0
V9212(D)	87	+	71		16	58.5		57.1		1.4
SISSON	86		65		21	58.6	+	55.9	-	2.7
VA00W-526	86		68		18	58.8	+	58.2	+	0.6
VA99W-28	86		78	+	8	57.5		57.4		0.1
VA01W-99	86		67		19	59.1	+	58.1	+	1.0
VAN99W-20	85		78	+	7	58.0		57.7		0.3
PIONEER 26R24(D)	85		73		12	58.5		56.9		1.6
VA97W-24	84		77		7	57.4		57.2		0.2
VA00W-38	84		74		10	56.8	-	55.4	-	1.4
VA01W-145	84		70		14	57.4		56.7		0.7
FEATHERSTONE 520(RT)	84		69		15	59.2	+	58.7	+	0.5
SS 550(B)	84		72		12	58.0		56.6		1.4
SS 535(R)	84		68		16	59.0	+	58.9	+	0.1
SS 560(R)	84		71		13	57.6		55.8	-	1.8
VA97W-375WS	83		83	+	0	58.9	+	58.6	+	0.3
VA98W-335	83		73		10	58.7	+	58.4	+	0.3
VA00W-464	83		74		9	58.5		57.4		1.1
VA01W-205	83		75		8	58.7	+	58.4	+	0.3
VA97W-375RS	82		75		7	59.0	+	58.9	+	0.1
VA99W-176	82		81	+	1	57.8		57.9		-0.1
VAN98W-342	82		84	+	-2	58.9	+	58.4	+	0.5
PIONEER 2684(D)	82		72		10	56.7	-	59.9	+	-3.2
CENTURY II(D)	82		68		14	59.2	+	57.9		1.3
VA98W-706	81		78	+	3	59.0	+	59.0	+	0.0
VA00W-286	81		77		4	57.2	-	57.1		0.1
VA01W-353	81		68		13	56.2	-	55.7	-	0.5
MD71-5	81		80	+	1	59.1	+	58.8	+	0.3
USG 3209(RT)	81		66		15	57.1	-	54.9	-	2.2
VA99W-452	80		68		12	57.6		56.2	-	1.4
VA01W-18	80		69		11	58.0		56.9		1.1
VA01W-112	80		72		8	57.2	-	55.1	-	2.1
VA01W-283	80		68		12	59.0	+	58.5	+	0.5
COKER 9025(D)	80		75		5	57.8		56.7		1.1
CRAWFORD	79		72		7	59.8	+	59.5	+	0.3
GA931470E62(D)	79		66		13	59.6	+	59.0	+	0.6
JACKSON(B)	78		66		12	57.9		56.9		1.0
McCORMICK	78		81	+	-3	60.0	+	60.2	+	-0.2
VA98W-631	78		80	+	-2	56.2	-	56.4		-0.2
VA00W-462	78		65	-	13	57.8		56.8		1.0
	.0		50		10	07.0		00.0		

Table 10. Performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test at Warsaw, 2003 harvest,fungicide versus no fungicide.*

			Yield					Test Wei	aht	
			(Bu/a)					(Lb/bu	-	
Line	With	۱	Without	t	Difference	With		Withou		Difference
VA01W-317	78		65		13	58.2		58.2	+	0.0
MSU line D8006	78		61	-	17	56.7	-	54.2	-	2.5
NEUSE(R)	78		66		12	59.4	+	59.1	+	0.3
NC98-26143(R)	78		76		2	57.0	-	56.6		0.4
PIONEER 2580(D)	78		74		4	56.5	-	56.2	-	0.3
COKER 9184(D)	78		68		10	59.8	+	59.8	+	0.0
COKER 9295(D)	78		69		9	56.7	-	56.1	-	0.6
COKER B960457(D)	77		60	-	17	55.8	-	53.6	-	2.2
VA99W-419	76		63		13	56.8	-	55.1	-	1.7
VA00W-562	76		61	-	15	58.2		57.4		0.8
NC98-24050(R)	76		63		13	54.7	-	54.5	-	0.2
PIONEER 26R58(D)	76		68		8	55.9	-	55.0	-	0.9
MASSEY	74		54	-	20	58.4		56.3	-	2.1
VAN98W-170WS	74		71		3	58.0		58.6	+	-0.6
VA01W-258	74		63		11	55.6	-	54.1	-	1.5
BENTON	72	-	62	-	10	56.1	-	54.2	-	1.9
USG 3650(RT)	71	-	61	-	10	56.8	-	55.6	-	1.2
ROANE(B)	69	-	60	-	9	58.6	+	57.8		0.8
VA00W-566	69	-	61	-	8	59.8	+	59.4	+	0.4
USG 3350(RT)	69	-	57	-	12	55.4	-	54.2	-	1.2
V9301	69	-	57	-	12	56.3	-	55.0	-	1.3
VAN00W-147	68	-	66		2	56.6	-	56.1	-	0.5
SOISSONS	64	-	44	-	20	54.9	-	51.6	-	3.3
USG 3430(RT)	62	-	50	-	12	55.3	-	53.4	-	1.9
Average	80		70			57.9		57.2		
LSD (0.05)	7		8			0.7		0.9		
C.V.	6		7			0.7		0.9		

Table 10. Performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test at Warsaw, 2003 harvest, fungicide versus no fungicide, continued.*

* Varieties are ordered by descending treated yields.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average. Fungicide-treated plots received Baytan® and Gaucho® seed treatment, plus Tilt® at heading. Non-fungicide-treated plots received seed treatment recommended and applied by seed companies, and the specific seed treatment applied to each line is indicated in parentheses following the variety name. All Virginia experimental lines and public releases were treated with (RT) except where indicated otherwise.

wheat lest at warsaw, 200	1, 2002, a	na z	<u>003 narv</u>	vests	s, fungic	ae ve	ersus no	o tur
		2-ye				3-ye	ar	
Line	With		Witho	ut	With		Witho	ut
SS 520(R)	106	+	96	+	102	+	95	+
TRIBUTE	104	+	93	+	100	+	93	+
SS 560(R)	103	+	91		102	+	92	+
VA97W-24	103	+	97	+	97		92	+
VA98W-335	102	+	90					
SS 550(B)	102	+	92	+	101	+	92	+
SISSON	102	+	88		101	+	90	+
MD11-52(R)	101	+	94	+				
VA00W-526	101	+	90					
VA97W-375WS	101	+	98	+	100	+	97	+
PIONEER 26R24(D)	101	+	89		96		89	
VAN98W-342	98		94	+				
VA97W-375RS	98		95	+	98		95	+
SS 535(R)	98		84		92		81	-
VA99W-176	97		95	+	98		94	+
USG 3209(RT)	97		85		96		86	
PIONEER 2684	97		84		93		84	
VA98W-631	96		92	+				
VA00W-464	96		89					
VA98W-706	95		90		98		91	+
PIONEER 2580	95		90		92		85	
CENTURY II(D)	95		83		92		84	
COKER 9295(D)	94		82	-				
McCORMICK	94		93	+	97		94	+
VA99W-419	92		80	-				
COKER 9184(D)	91		81	-	93		81	-
FEATHERSTONE 520	91		83		87	-	79	-
VA00W-38	90		82	-				
USG 3650(RT)	88	-	80	-				
IACKSON/B)	88		Q1		86		70	

Table 11. Two- and three-year yield performance (bu/acre) of entries in the Virginia TechWheat Test at Warsaw, 2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests, fungicide versus no fungicide.*

* Varieties are ordered by descending treated 2-year yields.

88

88

87

86

83

82

80

95

6

6

_

_

-

_

_

_

_

JACKSON(B)

VAN00W-147

VA00W-562

ROANE(B)

MASSEY

LSD (0.05)

Average

C.V.

COKER 9025(D)

NEUSE(R)

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average. Fungicide-treated plots received Baytan® and Gaucho® seed treatment, plus Tilt® at heading. Non-fungicide-treated plots received seed treatment recommended and applied by seed companies, and the specific seed treatment applied to each line is indicated in parentheses following the variety name. All Virginia experimental lines and public releases were treated with (RT) except where indicated otherwise.

81

78

84

80

76

76

67

87

5

5

_

_

86

85

84

84

78

94

5

6

_

78

79

74

76

67

86

4

6

_

_

WHEAT PLANTED NO-TILL INTO CORN STUBBLE

Wheat was planted no-till into corn stubble at the Eastern Virginia AREC near Warsaw, Virginia. Cooperator Charles Sanford harvested the corn and shredded the stalks. Preplant fertilizer of 30-100-60 was applied October 2, 2002. Lime was applied at 1.5 tons per acre and the field was sprayed with one quart Roundup® on September 30, 2002. Gramaxone Extra® was applied at 1.5 pints on October 9, 2002. The wheat varieties were planted on October 23, 2002 with a Hege plot drill at 30 seeds/row. Additional fertilizer and herbicide were applied as follows. Forty lb 24-0-0-3 were applied January 13, 2003. Forty lb 24-0-0-3 were applied March 11, 2003. Harmony Extra® at 0.6 oz and 60 lb 24-0-0-3 were applied March 28, 2003. Finesse7 was applied at 0.5 oz April 2, 2003 for the control of speedwell. Harvest occurred June 27, 2003.

An excellent stand was obtained. Even with the relatively cold winter the notill wheat plots looked good and yielded quite well (over 90 bushels per acre.) The first thing to notice is that the top-yielding varieties have averaged over 90 bushels per acre and generally been at the top all three years. Top varieties of wheat when planted notill into corn residue were Pioneer Brand 26R24, McCormick, Tribute, SS550, Sisson, and SS520. All of these varieties also performed well under conventional tillage. During the past five years, scab was of economic importance only in 1998 in these tests. Excellent yields and excellent test weights show that scab was not significant in 2003 even with excessive rainfall during heading and grain fill. Long term, it will still be beneficial in notill and conventional till when Fusarium resistance is increased in more varieties. Tribute, McCormick, and Roane have a degree of resistance to scab spread in the head.

Table 12. Summary of performance of entries in the Virginia Tech No-Till Wheat Test at Warsaw, 2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests.*

2001, 2002, and 2003 harv		Ave	erage	Yie	ld									P٥	N-		٦
			Bu/ac				Tes	t			Date			dei	v	Lea	af
	3-		2-		1-		Weig	ht	Lodgir	ng	Headed	Ht		Mild	ew	Ru	st
Line	yea	r	yea	ır	yea	ar	(Lb/b		(0.2-1	-	(Mar31+)	(In			(0-		
MD71-5					92	+	59.5	+	0.2		37	29	-	0	-	0	-
VAN98W-342			89	+	91	+	59.5	+	0.2		37	28	-	0	-	1	
PIONEER 26R24(D)	90	+	90	+	90	+	58.3		1.0		37	35	+	1		1	
VA99W-176	94	+	90	+	89	+	57.2		0.9		37	32		0	-	1	
McCORMICK	92	+	94	+	88	+	61.3	+	0.2		37	29	-	0	-	0	-
TRIBUTE	95	+	95	+	86	+	61.4	+	0.2		37	30		0	-	0	-
MV5-46					86	+	60.2	+	0.2		38	31		0	-	2	+
VA98W-335			89	+	85	+	59.3	+	0.2		38	27	-	0	-	0	-
VA98W-706	88		88	+	84	+	58.4	+	0.3		37	29	-	0	-	0	-
VA00W-464			80		83		58.0		0.4		37	29	-	1		0	-
VA99W-28					83		57.2		3.6	+	38	32		2	+	0	-
SS 550(B)	92	+	90	+	83		57.5		2.7	+	38	28	-	1		1	
SISSON	92	+	87		83		57.9		1.2		36	30		1		4	+
GA931241E16(RT)					83		59.3	+	4.2	+	39	35	+	2	+	0	-
VA01W-112					82		57.5		0.4		38	30		0	-	1	
CRAWFORD					82		58.6	+	2.6	+	36	32		0	-	0	-
PIONEER 26R58(D)					82		55.5	-	0.2		38	31		1		1	
V9212(D)					82		57.9		0.7		37	36	+	2	+	0	-
VA01W-145					81		57.8		0.4		39	29	-	0	-	0	-
MD11-52(R)			81		80		58.3		0.2		37	26	-	0	-	0	-
USG 3209(RT)	86		80		79		57.6		0.2		39	30		0	-	2	+
CENTURY II(D)	83		80		79		57.8		1.5		38	33	+	1		2	+
SS 535(R)	87		83		79		58.6	+	1.5		39	31		2	+	1	
VA00W-462					78		58.2		1.1		38	33	+	1		1	
VA01W-258					78		56.2	-	0.2		40	30		2	+	0	-
VA01W-353					78		56.8		0.3		38	28	-	0	-	1	
VA97W-375RS	87		84		78		57.6		0.6		37	27	-	0	-	1	
SS 520(R)	90	+	85		78		57.7		2.3		35 -	35	+	1		1	
VA99W-452					77		57.3		0.3		39	31		1		1	
VA01W-18					77		57.6		0.2		39	30		0	-	0	-
NEUSE(R)	79	-	77		77		60.5	+	0.8		39	30		0	-	0	-
VA98W-631			81		76		54.9	-	0.2		39	28	-	1		0	-

2001, 2002, and 2005 harv		erage Yie	əld					Pow-	
		Bu/acre)		Test		Date		dery	Leaf
	3-	2-	1-	Weight	Lodging	Headed	Ht.	Mildev	
Line	year	year	year	(Lb/bu)	(0.2-10)	(Mar31+)	(ln))-9)
VA01W-148			76	58.2	0.2	40	26 -	1	0 -
NC98-26143(R)			76	56.2 -	1.6	40	35 +	0	- 0 -
PIONEER 2684(D)	81	76 -	75	59.7 +	0.2	38	32	1	0 -
JACKSON(B)	84	84	75	57.5	2.9 +	39	31	2 +	
VAN98W-170WS			75	58.2	2.1	36	32	2 +	+ 0 -
VA00W-286			75	56.0 -	0.4	38	29 -	1	0 -
VA01W-283			75	59.1 +	1.9	41 +	30	0 .	- 0 -
VA97W-24	95 +	93 +	75	57.3	0.9	39	33 +	1	1
MSU line D8006			75	55.3 -	0.2	39	34 +	1	1
GA931233E17(D)			75	58.9 +	3.4 +	38	34 +	1	0 -
GA931470E62(D)			75	59.2 +	3.9 +	37	28 -	1	0 -
VA00W-526		92 +	74	58.8 +	0.2	39	28 -	0 ·	- 0 -
VA01W-317			74	58.0	0.2	38	31	0 ·	- 1
COKER 9184(D)	78 -	75 -	74	59.8 +	0.2	40	29 -	2 +	⊦ 1
VA99W-419		82	73	56.0 -	0.3	38	31	2 +	+ 1
VA00W-38		77	73	55.8 -	0.7	39	30	1	0 -
VA01W-99			73	58.8 +	0.2	39	31	0 ·	- 1
SS 560(R)	87	86	73	56.0 -	0.2	39	29 -	1	1
COKER B960457(D)			73	55.7 -	0.2	39	37 +	3 +	+ 1
VAN99W-20			72	57.0	0.7	39	32	1	1
COKER 9025(D)	78 -	71 -	71	55.9 -	3.6 +	39	31	2 +	+ 0 -
VA01W-205			71	57.7	0.2	38	28 -	2 +	+ 0 -
VA97W-375WS	84	81	71	57.2	0.2	37	26 -		- 0 -
NC98-24050(R)			71	53.2 -	0.3	38	30	0 ·	- 0 -
FEATHERSTONE 520(RT)	79 -	71 -	69	58.6 +	2.2	39	31	1	1
VAN00W-147		81	69	56.1 -	0.3	39	30	0 ·	- 0 -
BENTON			69	55.9 -	0.3	39	30	1	1
PIONEER 2580(D)	81	77	68 -	55.6 -	1.6	38	32	1	1
COKER 9295(D)		72 -	68 -	55.6 -	0.3	40	33 +	3 +	+ 0 -
MASSEY	70 -	62 -	66 -	57.7	1.9	39	36 +	2 +	+ 4 +
ROANE(B)	78 -	79	66 -	59.1 +	0.2	39	29 -	5 +	⊦ 1
USG 3650(RT)		81	65 -	56.1 -	0.2	30 -	31	0 ·	- 1
VA00W-562		72 -	64 -	58.1	0.2	39	31	1	0 -
VA00W-566			60 -	59.0 +	0.2	35 -	26 -	1	1
USG 3350(RT)			59 -	53.1 -	0.3	37	36 +	6 +	-
SOISSONS			59 -	54.9 -	0.2	40	28 -	0 ·	- 2 +
V9301			56 -	53.5 -	0.2	37	28 -	5 +	-
USG 3430(RT)			48 -	51.1 -	1.1	36	35 +	7 -	
Average	85	82	76	57.4	0.9	38	31	1	1
LSD (0.05)	5	6	8	1.0	1.5	3	2	1	1
C.V.	7	7	6	1.0		7	4		

* Varieties are ordered by descending one-year yield averages. The years 2001 and 2002 had 4 replications; the year 2003 had 3 replications. Data other than yield is given for 2003 harvest only. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average. Belgian Lodging Scale = Area X Intensity X 0.2. Area = 1-10, where 1 is wheat unaffected and 10 is entire plot affected and Intensity = 1-5, where 1 is wheat standing upright and 5 is wheat totally flat.

The 0-9 ratings indicate degree to which plant is affected, where 0=none and 9=total plant affected.

TRITICALE VARIETIES
Table 13. Summary of performance of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Test, 2003 harvest*.

		Test		Heading		Powdery	Leaf
	Yield	Weight	Lodging	Date	Height	Mildew	Rust
Line	(Bu/acre)	(Lb/bu)	(0.2-10)	(Mar31+)	(Inches)	(0-	9)
	(5)	(5)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(1)
SISSON	63 +	54.0 +	2.6 +	36 +	35 -	3 +	7
TRICAL 2205	60	48.3	0.6	35 +	39 -	1	0
ARCIA	59	47.7	1.1	32 -	46 +	0 -	0
TRICAL 2115	57	46.6 -	0.2 -	31 -	38 -	0 -	0
TRICAL 336	54 -	45.4 -	1.3	37 +	47 +	1	0
Average	59	48.4	1.2	34	41	1	1
LSD (0.05)	3	0.8	0.7	1	1	1	
C.V.	8	2.7		1	2		

* Yields were calculated using 60 lb/bu for both triticales and Sisson wheat.

Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average. The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of locations on which data are based.

Belgian Lodging Scale = Area X Intensity X 0.2. Area = 1-10, where 1 is crop unaffected and 10 is entire plot affected and Intensity = 1-5, where 1 is crop standing upright and 5 is crop totally flat. The 0-9 ratings indicate degree to which plant is affected, where 0=none and 9=total plant affected.

Table 14. Yield performance (bushels/acre) of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Tes	t, 2003
harvest*.	

Line	Painter	Warsaw	Black	sburg O	range	Valley	Avera	ge
SISSON	65	61	- 61	+ 7	75 +	54	63	+
TRICAL 2205	68	73	+ 53	6	61	50	60	
ARCIA	54 -	67	47	7	72 +	56	59	
TRICAL 2115	58	74	+ 48	5	57 -	50	57	
TRICAL 336	62	62	- 44	5	53 -	52	54	-
Average	62	67	51	e	63	52	59	
Average	02		10		6	52 9		
LSD (0.05) C.V.	í G	4	10		6 6	9 11	3	
U.V.	6	4	12		0	11	8	

* Yields were calculated using 60 lb/bu for both triticales and Sisson wheat.

Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

2002 and 2005 h									
Line	Painte	r	Warsa	w	Blacksb	urg	Orange	Averag	le
TRICAL 2205	85	+	95	+	71	+	72	81	+
TRICAL 336	86	+	83	-	65		71	76	
TRICAL 2115	75	-	92	+	61		68	74	
ARCIA	76		81		57		76	72	-
Average	80		88		63		72	76	
LSD (0.05)	5		4		7		5	3	
C.V.	6		4		10		6	7	

Table 15. Two-year yield performance (bushels/acre) of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Test, 2002 and 2003 harvests*.

*Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

Table 16. Three-year yield performance (bushels/acre) of entries in the Virginia Tech Triticale Test, 2001, 2002, and 2003 harvests*.

Line	Painter	Warsaw	Blacksburg	Orange	Average
TRICAL 2205	89	99	78	74	85 +
TRICAL 2115	80	96	69 -	70	79 -
Average	84	98	74	72	82
LSD (0.05)	7	5	5	4	2
C.V.	8	5	7	4	6

*Varieties are ordered by descending statewide yield averages.

A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average.

MILLING AND BAKING QUALITY

Milling and baking quality of wheat lines grown in the 2001-2002 Virginia State Wheat Test were assessed by the USDA-ARS Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory (SWQL) in Wooster, Ohio (Table 17). Quality evaluations were conducted using 2000 gram seed samples from wheat lines grown at the Painter, VA test site. The data presented here are for a single location and, therefore, are not a definitive measure of a given wheat line's milling and baking quality. Because quality varies from location to location and from year to year, data over years and locations are needed to accurately define quality of a given wheat line.

Milling and baking quality of wheat lines were compared to that of the local check cultivar Sisson. On the basis of four independent quality evaluations conducted by the SWQL, Sisson ranked 217 out of 687 cultivars for milling quality and has better than average milling qualities. Sisson has weak protein gluten strength and pastry baking quality is below average but acceptable. Lines receiving milling and baking scores of "A" have average (numeric score = 100) or better than average (scores > 100) quality compared with Sisson. Because Sisson is rather lenient as a quality standard, wheat lines with scores below those of Sisson, particularly for baking quality may have questionable quality for pastry products. For comparison, AGS 2000 has excellent milling and pastry baking quality and ranks 17^{th} out of 687 cultivars evaluated by the SWQL.

Milling quality scores ranged from a high of 110 (AGS 2000) to a low of 73.8 with 9 of 25 lines having better milling quality than Sisson (score of 100). Flour yields ranged from a high of 79.8% (AGS 2000) to a low of 76.0% compared to 77.7% for Sisson. Pastry baking quality scores ranged from a high of 106.7 (SS 560) to a low of 68.0 with 15 lines having similar or better baking quality than Sisson (score of 100). Cookie diameter ranged from a high of 18.54 cm to a low of 17.10 cm compared to 17.8 cm for Sisson.

Flour protein concentration varied from 7.44 to 9.02%. Protein quality, specifically gluten strength, based on Lactic Acid Solvent Retention Capacity varied from a high of 102.3 to a low of 68.3 compared to 77.9 for the weak gluten check variety Sisson. Lines having lower Lactic Acid scores such as Sisson likely would produce a dough having weak gluten strength and be more suitable for pastry products, while lines having higher Lactic Acid scores such as Tribute would produce a dough having stronger gluten strength and be more suitable for cracker or certain bread products.

				STRAIGHT	SOFTNESS		ALKALINE WATER		LACTIC ACID
		MILLING	BAKING	GRADE	ENDOSPERM	FLOUR	RETENTION	COOKIE	0.1
		QUALITY	QUALITY		SEPARATION				MOISTURE
LINE	MILLABILITY	SCORE	SCORE	YIELD	INDEX	%	%	СМ	BASIS
SISSON		OUDINE	COORE	HEED		/0	/0	0 M	
(STANDARD)	117.0	100.0 A	100.0 A	77.7	9.9	7.90	58.1	17.80	77.9
AGS2000(TV)	134.7	110.0 A	105.1 A	79.8	6.5	8.15	57.4	18.06	86.0
VA98W-631	124.8	108.2 A	93.2 C	79.3	7.4	8.52 *	56.3	17.63	97.9
VA98W-706	124.8	105.1 A	98.1 B	78.0	8.7	8.06	54.9	17.76	95.7
CENTURY II(D)	120.3	103.6 A	99.9 A	78.0	8.5	7.74	57.3	17.67	78.6
VA99W-176	119.5	105.0 A	96.4 B	78.2	8.4	8.68 *	57.8	17.83	96.0
SS 520(R)	118.4	106.7 A	105.9 A	78.1	8.6	7.73	53.3	18.19	85.9
VA00W-526	117.4	105.7 A	90.9 C	78.9	8.2	8.97 **	56.2	17.65	92.0
VA97W-375RS	112.1	93.4 C	104.2 A	77.0 *	9.7	8.26	55.0	18.54	78.5
VA98W-591	111.7	101.1 A	100.0 A	77.5	8.9	8.29	59.0	17.83	96.2
VA97W-375WS	110.6	95.5 B	104.5 A	77.3	9.3	8.42 *	54.9	18.45	76.5
VAN00W-147	109.8	100.6 A	101.6 A	78.3	9.4	8.71 *	57.5	17.97	88.8
VA98W-593	109.6	98.8 B	70.9 F	77.7	9.4	8.10	60.1 *	17.30 *	101.0
VA98W-335	106.3 *	87.9 D	100.9 A	76.4 **	10.6 *	8.80 **	60.1 *	18.33	78.3
MD11-52(R)	105.9 *	90.8 C	104.7 A	76.9 *	10.0	8.11	56.1	18.40	77.4

Table 17. Milling and baking quality of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test based on evaluations of the 2002 harvest.

Table 17. Milling and baking quality of entries in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test based on evaluations of
the 2002 harvest, continued.

													ALKALINE	Ξ		LACTIC
							STRAIG	энт	SOFTNE	ESS			WATER			ACID
			MILLI	١G	BAKIN	١G	GRAD	ЭE	ENDOSP	ERM	FLOU	R	RETENTIO	N	COOKIE	0.1
			QUAL	TΥ	QUAL	TΥ	FLOU	R	SEPARA	TION	PROTE	IN	CAPACIT	Y	DIAMETEI	MOISTURE
LINE	MILLABIL	ITY.	SCO	RE	SCOF	RE	YIELI	D	INDE	x	%		%		СМ	BASIS
SISSON																
(STANDARD)	117.0		100.0	А	100.0	Α	77.7		9.9		7.90		58.1		17.80	77.9
VA00W-562	105.3	*	98.4	В	99.0	В	77.6		9.4		9.02	**	56.7		17.82	86.1
PIONEER 26R24(B)	104.7	*	92.2	С	100.7	А	76.7	*	10.1		7.78		57.5		17.70	88.9
SS 560(R)	103.8	*	87.3	D	106.7	А	76.8	*	10.8	*	7.44		58.1		18.10	75.0
SS 550(R)	103.8	*	89.7	D	101.0	А	76.7	*	10.6	*	7.50		60.2	*	18.04	77.5
VA00W-38	102.0	*	85.2	D	97.4	В	76.0	**	10.4		8.05		58.2		17.70	102.3
VAN98W-342	99.0	*	90.7	С	100.4	Α	76.8	*	10.3		8.74	*	60.3	*	18.22	68.3
VA99W-419	97.0	**	84.5	Е	101.3	А	76.0	**	11.0	**	8.38	*	56.9		17.75	92.3
VA99W-131	96.1	**	84.7	Е	68.0	F	76.6	**	10.8	*	7.77		60.4	*	17.30 *	81.5
USG 3209(RT)	93.8	**	85.3	D	98.1	В	76.6	**	10.9	*	7.83		60.8	*	17.92	87.5
VA00W-464	91.0	**	73.8	F	99.4	В	76.1	**	12.2	**	7.54		61.1	*	18.00	89.5

WHEAT SCAB RESEARCH

Full time effort by several members of Dr. Carl Griffey's staff including Jianli Chen, Julie Wilson, Tom Pridgen, and Daryoosh Nabati is making progress toward varieties with reduced scab incidence and reduced severity. Data in Table 11 and previous years' results show released varieties such as McCormick, Tribute, Neuse, and Roane to have reduced scab infection compared to varieties such as SS535, Featherstone 520, Coker 9184, and Crawford. Further research will hopefully identify more high yielding lines such as McCormick, Tribute, Neuse, and Roane that have significantly lower scab infection.

Table 18. Reaction of Entries in the Virginia State Wheat Test to Fusariu	m Head
Blight, 2003 Harvest.	

	ЬНВ	FHB	
	Incidence	Severity	FHB
Line	(%) ²	(%) ³	Index ⁴
ROANE(B)	25.0	11.3	3.0
NEUSE(R)	27.5	11.8	3.5
VA00W-38	30.0	9.8	4.0
VA01W-205	37.5	10.4	4.0
USG 3430(RT)	30.0	11.8	4.0
McCORMICK	32.5	13.1	4.5
VA99W-419	30.0	13.2	4.5
USG 3350(RT)	32.5	13.9	4.5
VA00W-464	37.5	12.2	5.0
VAN99W-20	47.5	11.8	6.0
VA01W-99	37.5	15.7	6.0
USG 3209(RT)	45.0	13.3	6.0
VA00W-462	37.5	15.1	6.5
VA97W-24	40.0	15.0	7.5
VA99W-452	57.5	13.2	7.5
GA931241E16(RT)	40.0	16.4	7.5
USG 3650(RT)	45.0	16.0	7.5
COKER 9025(D)	50.0	16.0	8.0
JACKSON(B)	50.0	15.1	8.5
PIONEER 26R58(D)	52.5	14.5	8.5
VA01W-18	40.0	19.2	9.0
PIONEER 2580(D)	52.5	16.0	9.0
MASSEY	47.5	19.9	9.5
VA98W-706	50.0	17.1	9.5
VA01W-258	40.0	19.8	9.5
V9212(D)	47.5	16.5	9.5
V9301	42.5	16.3	9.5
VAN98W-342	50.0	16.4	10.0
COKER 9295(D)	42.5	23.2	10.0
MSU line D8006	50.0	17.2	10.5
SS 560(R)	50.0	16.5	10.5
TRIBUTE	47.5	19.6	10.5
NC98-26143(R)	52.5	19.2	11.0
VA98W-749	52.5	20.6	11.5

	FHB	FHB	
	Incidence	Severity	FHB
Line	(%) ²	$(\%)^3$	Index ⁴
VA00W-286	57.5	17.1	11.5
VA99W-176	52.5	17.4	12.0
VA01W-317	57.5	21.4	12.0
MD71-5	55.0	18.0	12.0
BENTON	52.5	19.9	12.0
NC98-24050(R)	60.0	18.4	12.0
PIONEER 2684(D)	52.5	17.9	12.0
PIONEER 26R24(D)	52.5	19.9	13.0
SS 550(B)	52.5	22.8	13.5
SS 535(R)	60.0	19.1	13.5
CENTURY II(D)	57.5	19.8	13.5
COKER B960457(D)	52.5	20.2	13.5
MV5-46	55.0	19.7	14.0
SS 520(R)	60.0	20.7	14.0
VA00W-526	57.5	22.1	14.5
VA01W-353	57.5	19.3	14.5
VA00W-562	65.0	23.0	15.0
VA01W-145	65.0	21.1	15.0
SISSON	55.0	20.8	15.5
VA01W-148	62.5	21.0	15.5
MD11-52(R)	57.5	23.4	15.5
VA97W-375RS	62.5	22.7	16.0
VA99W-28	65.0	22.2	16.5
VAN98W-170WS	67.5	23.9	17.5
FEATHERSTONE 520(RT)	62.5	24.8	17.5
VA98W-335	62.5	24.3	18.0
GA931233E17(D)	57.5	23.8	18.0
COKER 9184(D)	70.0	26.8	19.0
VA00W-566	55.0	23.8	19.5
VAN00W-147	80.0	24.7	20.0
VA98W-631	67.5	26.4	21.5
VA01W-112	82.5	26.2	21.5
GA931470E62(D)	67.5	27.7	22.5
CRAWFORD	80.0	32.0	26.0
VA01W-283	80.0	37.0	30.0
VA97W-375WS	92.5	34.0	31.5
Grand Mean	52.86	19.27	12.21
CV (%)	37.90	37.90	68.30
LSD (0.05)	33.40	12.18	13.91

Table 18. Reaction of Entries in the Virginia State Wheat Test to Fusarium HeadBlight, 2003 Harvest, continued.

Entries were planted at Blacksburg, VA and were inoculated at booting and 50% heading stages with *Fusarium graminearum* spore suspension.

² Scab Incidence (%): Percentage of infected spikes among 50 randomly selected spikes.

³ Scab Severity (%): Percentage of infected florets divided by total number of florets on the infected spikes.

⁴ Scab Index: Scab incidence x scab severity x 100; an overall indicator of scab resistance/susceptibility level.

SELECTING WHEAT VARIETIES FOR SPECIFIC PLANTING DATES

Anyone can tell the optimum time to plant wheat in hindsight. The question is more difficult when you try to select the best variety to plant when planting earlier than optimum or the best variety when planting later than optimum. We learn something new each year and the freeze (21°F) of March 23rd at Warsaw during the 2002 season helped determine the degree of damage that can occur when wheat is jointing too early in the spring.

When planting early, wheat varieties that are day-length sensitive, have a long vernalization (must be exposed to freezing temperatures after germination for at least 6 to 8 weeks), and are relatively late in heading should be selected. Early planted varieties should also have good resistance to barley yellow dwarf virus (or use Gaucho®) and good resistance to powdery mildew (or use a fungicide seed treatment). Day-length sensitive wheat varieties tend to grow less during the winter and do not joint (heads move up from soil level) somewhat regardless of winter temperatures until the day-length increases in mid-March. Day-length insensitive varieties that have been vernalized will grow and begin jointing whenever sufficient heat units have been accumulated. The winter of 2001-2002 in Virginia was very warm so day-length insensitive varieties were jointing by early to mid-March in eastern Virginia. Day-length sensitive varieties such as McCormick, Tribute, Coker 9025, and Roane were less than six inches tall (not jointing) whereas day-length insensitive, early varieties such as Southern States 518, Pioneer Brand 26R61, and AGS 2000 were 9-10 inches tall with the head at least three inches from the soil surface. This is all important because temperatures of 20-25°F will kill the developing head of jointed wheat whereas such temperatures can be tolerated until jointing. Further work will be done to identify more day-length sensitive varieties for early planting. Most of the leading varieties currently available are intermediate in their response to day-length.

The recommendation is to plant varieties that are day-length sensitive with later heading dates when planting prior to optimum planting date. Do not plant early heading day-length insensitive varieties until about the time of the first average frost for your area.